Have an IANAPLAN BoF

IETF90, Toronto, ON

Chairs: Marc Blanchet & Andrew Sullivan

Agenda

- Preliminaries & agenda bash
- Introduction
- Transition, larger Internet Community, and Co-ordination Group
- What does this mean from our perspective?
- Discussion
- Review & discussion of proposed charter
- Close

Admin

- Do we have scribes?
- Please remember the remote participants
- Please remember that not everyone knows your name
- Please remember that we are colleagues. There may be sensitive topics here, but we should treat each other with respect

NOTE WELL

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

The IETF plenary session

The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG

Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices

Any IETF working group or portion thereof

Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session

The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB

The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

History from IETF89 IGOVUPDATE

1. The IETF protocol parameters function has been and continues to be capably provided by the Internet community.

2. The administration of the protocol parameters function by ICANN is working well for the Internet and the IETF.

3. The IETF protocol parameters function requires openness, transparency, and accountability.

History from IETF89 IGOVUPDATE

4. Any contemplated changes to the protocol parameters function should use the current RFCs and model as the starting point.

5. The Internet architecture requires and receives capable service by Internet registries.

History from IETF89 IGOVUPDATE

6. The IETF will continue its direction and stewardship of the protocol parameters function as an integral component of the IETF standards process and the use of resulting protocols.

7. If the IETF decides to reconsider the operation of the protocol parameters function, the content of the registries follows that function.