


IDR

IETF-90, Toronto

July 22, 2014

Note Well

- **Note Well**
- Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:
 - The IETF plenary session
 - The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
 - Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
 - Any IETF working group or portion thereof
 - Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
 - The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
 - The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function



Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details



A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.



A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

RFCs Finished

- RFC7153 – IANA registries for BGP extended Communities (draft-ietf-idr-extcomm-iana)
- RFC7196 – Making Route Flap Damping Usable (draft-ietf-idr-rfc-usable)
- RFC7300 – Reservation of Last AS numbers (draft-ietf-idr-last-as-reservation)

At RFC Editors

- Draft-ietf-bgp-route-refresh-10
- Draft-ietf-aigp-18 -

WG Calls coming after IETF

- Draft-ietf-idr-flowspec-redirect-rt-bis (1 week double check for IPR, 13 response)
- Draft-idr-bgp-gr-notification (3 responses, 2 week re-cycle0)
- Draft-ietf-idr-error-handling
- Draft-ietf-idr-as-migration-01.txt
- Draft-gredler-idr-ls-distribution

draft-ietf-idr-flowspec-redirect-rt-bis-00

- Flowspec (RFC 5575) specified a “redirect to VRF” extended community action. Basically, it’s a route-target.
- That action only permitted 6 bytes of data. The RFC was ambiguous about how to select the VRF type since that’s usually part of the 2 bytes that flowspec is using to declare an action.
- That ambiguity lead to interop issues.
- This draft documents the deployed extended community types and puts them in IANA.

Draft authors

- If 2 implementations -→ WG LC and IESG
- If not 2 implement → Can request Be ok by WG and go into Waiting for Implementation state
- If 3 years and no implementations, Sue will be checking in to see if Sue's missed

Looking for Document shepherds

- We are looking for people wanting to be Document Shepherds for IDR