RFC Editor Report

IETF 90
Toronto
RFC Editor at IETF 90

- **Office Hours**
  - RPC: Mon - Thu
  - RSE: Mon & Wed
  - ISE: Tue & Thur

- **RFC Format Session**
  - 13:00 – 14:00 (Ballroom)
Monthly Queue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Subs</th>
<th>Pubs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IETF</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IETF non-wg</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAB</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRTF</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Jan – 18 Jul 2014

- Submitted
- Moved to EDIT
- Published
Queue Movement

# of Docs in Primary Processing States

![Chart showing the number of documents in primary processing states from January 2013 to December 2014. The chart indicates the distribution of documents across different months, with categories labeled as AUTH48-DONE, AUTH48, RFC-EDITOR, and EDIT.](chart.png)
### RPC PROCESSING TIMES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Met SLA Target (67% RET or better)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>12mo Avg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>Oct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met SLA Target (67% RET or better)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of docs pub &lt;= 6 wks</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of docs pub &lt;= 6 wks</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total # of docs pub</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total # of docs sub</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total # of docs entering EDIT</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67th percentile (wks)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Total Errata over 8 IETFs

Total Errata (by Status)

Number of Errata

Held
Rejected
Verified
Reported

IETF 83: 1033
IETF 84: 1193
IETF 85: 1264
IETF 86: 1397
IETF 87: 1370
IETF 88: 1416
IETF 89: 1444
IETF 90: 1474

as of 2014-07-16

7/19/14
Reported Errata by Source of RFC

IETF 89

- app (1)
- gen (3)
- int (9)
- ops (8)
- rai (1)
- rtg (9)
- sec (24)
- tsv (28)
- Independent (2)
- IAB (2)
- IRTF (0)

IETF 90

- app (0)
- gen (3)
- int (1)
- ops (1)
- rai (7)
- rtg (2)
- sec (32)
- tsv (26)
- Independent (5)
- IAB (1)
- IRTF (0)

as of 2014-02-26

as of 2014-07-16
What’s New


- Upgraded the hardware and software used by the RFC Editor's website and RFC repository. New hardware with additional computing resources, a new operating system version, and new software versions were all included in the comprehensive upgrade. These upgrades will provide better security, faster processing, and increased storage, to support the future growth of the RFC Editor and related services.
RFC Format

- Progress since IETF 89
  - Tools Development Team working on the various SoW's required for the tools
  - Design Team continues to work on the requirements and descriptions
  - List of the latest drafts can be found on the Format FAQ

RFC Format Session = Tuesday, 22 July 2014 @ 13:00-14:00, Ballroom C
Timeline

- **July 2014 – October 2014**
  - Team to finish drafts to the point that coding can start against the requirements
    - Drafts to remain as drafts pending discoveries during the coding effort
  - SoWs to be posted as a group for community comment

- **October 2014 – December 2015**
  - RFPs, programming, QA, draft updates, more QA, tutorials and training, more QA, go-live
Style Guide

- RFC-to-be 7322

- Significant changes include:
  - Update to reference structure, esp. I-Ds
  - Web component of the Style Guide to capture tentative and experimental guidance
  - Table of Contents is required and will be added by RFC Editor if not present in the approved I-D
  - Guidance regarding Acknowledgements, Contributors, and Authors is clarified
Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs)

- IAB approved starting the assignment of Digital Object Identifier (DOI) [ISO 26324] to RFCs
  - Both past and future RFCs – not I-Ds
  - A DOI might look like: 10.123456/rfc1234

- Tools Development Team is wrapping up the draft for the SoW for the project; expect that to go out for community comment soon

- The draft describing how DOIs will be handled will be updated as we learn more
RSE Avenues of Communication

- The RSE wiki

- rfc-interest mailing list

- RFC Series Oversight Committee (RSOC)