

# MPLS Performance Measurement

## UDP Return Path

draft-bryant-mpls-oam-udp-return-01

{stbryant, msiva, sabsoni}@cisco.com

# WG Adoption Poll

- There were two significant types of comments
  - This incremental improvement to RFC6378 vs new OAM configuration protocol
  - Error handling logic
- There was a draft name change and a bunch of nits that will obviously be addressed.

# Incremental Improvement

- Proposal is simple and results in a self contained protocol.
- It fixes an oversight in the original RFC6378 design
- There is no defined and deployed OAM configuration protocol.
- If an OAM configuration protocol is defined and accepted by the operator community it will complement this approach.

# Error Handling

- Always the Cinderella, compared to normal operation 😞
- Will take a further look at this after IETF and encourage others to do so as well.
- Suggest that we will only fully understand what operators need when we have field experience.

# Completing the Work

- It would be nice to pick up the pace to web speed on this work.
- Propose:
  - Fix the outstanding comment and publish as a WG draft.
  - Chairs immediately request early allocation of the Return UDP Port TLV codepoint
  - Get some implementation and deployment experience
  - Send to IESG.