

Network Time Security

draft-ietf-ntp-network-time-security-04

Dr. Dieter Sibold Kristof Teichel Stephen Röttger

IETF 90 (Toronto), July 21-25, 2014

History

Scope

Major Changes

- From version 02 to 03

- From version 03 to 04

Next steps

- ▶ **IETF 83:** Presentation of security issues of RFC 5906 (autokey)
- ▶ **IETF 84:** Presentation of plan for a new autokey standard
- ▶ **IETF 85:** I-D “draft-sibold-autokey-00”
- ▶ **IETF 86:** I-D “draft-sibold-autokey-02”
- ▶ **IETF 87:** Renaming of I-D and presentation as “draft-ietf-ntp-network-time-security-00”
- ▶ **IETF 88/89:** Continuation as “draft-ietf-ntp-network-time-security-*nn*”

Network Time Security shall provide:

- ▶ Authenticity of time servers
- ▶ Integrity of synchronization data packets
- ▶ Conformity with the TICTOC Security Requirements
- ▶ Support of NTP (all of its modes)
- ▶ Support of PTP as far as possible (is to be discussed)

▶ **Altered:**

- Correction of an error in the formula for the cookie calculation, introduced in the draft's 02 version

▶ **Altered:**

- Usage of the client's certificate instead of its public key in the cookie calculation. Enables authorization of the client (suggested by Steven Bellovin)
- Introduction of a nonce in the server_cert message to mitigate a possible replay attack (suggested by Steven Bellovin)
- Correlation of the transmit time stamp (t_1) with the nonce in the time_request message in order to avoid a spoofing attack (results from a discussion with T. Mizrahi)

▶ **Altered:**

- Mitigation of a spoofing attack on the cookie exchange (revealed by K. Teichel via investigation with a model checker)
- Introduction of message IDs in all messages. (Among other benefits, this mitigates a second attack discovered via model checking.)
- Introduction of different server seeds for different hash algorithms, for damage control in case of broken hash functions (suggested by S. Röttger)

▶ **Added:**

- The delay attack is discussed in the section security considerations
- Appendix D. to describe usage of TESLA for the broadcast/multicast mode

▶ **Revised:**

- Increased minimum requirements on the applied hash algorithm (suggested by Steven Bellovin)
- Comparison with current TICTOC requirements

▶ **Version 05 (already in progress)**

- CMS scheme for the message exchanges
- Merge of association and certification messages

▶ **Future versions**

- Consideration of DANE
- Companion document for the utilized security algorithms (algorithm agility)
- IANA Considerations
- Applicability of NTS for PTP? Security for PTP is currently also considered in IEEE's P1588 WG.

▶ **Review and comments are requested from:**

- TICTOC Working Group
- NTP Working Group
- NTP development team