IETF91 14 November 2014 Honolulu 6man WG

# **CGA Security Improvement**

draft-rafiee-rfc3972-bis-00

#### **Author:**

**Hosnieh Rafiee** 

HuaweiTechnologies Duesseldorf GmbH, Munich, Germany

**Dacheng Zhang** 

HuaweiTechnologies, China





# Cryptographically Generated Addresses (CGA) – RFC 3972

#### What is CGA

- Proof of IP address ownership by finding a binding between
  public key and IPv6 address of the node
  - Hash (public key | other parameters) and use 64bits of this hash as anIPv6 address of the node
  - Sent with packet: public key and other parameters signed by private key
  - Use some condition to increase the security over 64 bits limit of interface ID: sec value 0 - 7

#### Problem with CGA

All explained in the following documents

https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-rafiee-6man-cga-attack-02

### Problems & Solutions with CGA - I

- Problem with CGA and SeND specification document
  - Possibility to match CGA generated by sec value 0 to CGA higher sec values
  - Solution: Needs to check with source IP address and target IP address
- RSA weak key size
  - Matching CGA value generated by RSA weak key size with value generated by legitimate node
  - Legitimate node use weak key size that is easily breakable
    by the attacker
  - Solution: Node should discard any message with weak key sizes

## **Problems & Solutions with CGA - II**

- Variable length prefix
  - Prefix information should be sent by router
  - Less than 64 bits interface ID has high impact on node's security and should be avoided

## **Question?**

- Any suggestion?
- Does WG want to adopt this document?