Media Type Registrations for Microsoft-Inspired Image Formats

image/wmf, image/emf image/bmp, (and maybe others)

IETF 91 APPSAWG

Honolulu, HI, USA, 2014-11-10

Sean Leonard <dev+ietf@seantek.com>
Penango, Inc.

Overview

- draft-seantek-image-wmf-emf-00
- draft-seantek-image-bmp-00
- New Media Type Registrations
- Informational RFCs
- Seeking comments and discussion

About Windows Metafiles (and Enhanced Metafiles)

- From Windows 3.0, the Before Time™
- De-facto industry standards for vector and raster graphics
- Widely implemented
- Required for interoperability in other standards, e.g., Office Open XML, which use media types
- image/x-wmf, image/x-emf in wide use
- Documented with MS Open Specification Promise

About Windows Bitmaps

- From Windows 2.0, the Way Before Time™
- De-facto industry standards for raster graphics
- Widely implemented
- Required for interoperability in other standards, e.g., Office Open XML, which use media types
- image/x-bmp in wide use
- Documented with MS Open Specification Promise
- Basis for other widely implemented formats: Windows Cursors (.cur),
 Windows Animated Cursors (.ani), Windows Icons (.ico)

Example





Why Not vnd. Facet?

- Let's be realistic
- Short and sweet



Why Now?

- MIME Sniffing "Living Standard"
 - Content sniffing = dangerous
- Well-documented
- Short and sweet registrations (8 pages, 5 pages)
- Historical interest and preservation
- Felt like it

Should We Do Other Raster Formats?

- Windows Cursors: .cur, image/cur
- Windows Animated Cursors: .ani, image/ani or video/ani?
 - Novel classification issue
 - Actually based on <u>Resource Interchange File Format</u> (RIFF), same as <u>AVI</u> and <u>WebP</u>
- Windows Icons: .ico, image/ico
 - Existing image/vnd.microsoft.icon...pretty much nobody uses this (true statement?)
 - Apache 2.2.x and trunk still serve as image/x-icon

Comments and discussion welcome!