DIME WG IETF 91

DIME WG Agenda & Status



Tuesday, November 11th, 2014 Chairs: Jouni Korhonen, Lionel Morand

Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- •The IETF plenary session
- •The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- •Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- •Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- •Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- •The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- •The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function


All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of <u>RFC 5378</u> and <u>RFC 3979</u> (updated by <u>RFC 4879</u>).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult <u>RFC 5378</u> and <u>RFC 3979</u> for details.


A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Intellectual Property



- When starting a presentation you MUST say if:
 - There is IPR associated with your draft
 - The restrictions listed in section 5 of RFC 3978/4748 apply to your draft
- When asking questions or commenting on a draft:
 - You MUST disclose any IPR you know of relating to the technology under discussion
- References
 - RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879)
 - "Note well" text




Agenda 1/2

- Preliminaries (Chairs, 5mn)
 - Note takers/jabber scribes
 - Agenda Bashing
- WG Status Update (Chairs, 5mn)
- WG Document presentation
 - Group Signaling
 - draft-ietf-dime-group-signaling
 - Diameter Overload Indication Conveyance (Steve, 100mn)
 - draft-ietf-dime-ovli

(Marco, 15mn)


Agenda 2/2




- Individual Drafts (Chairs, 5mn)
 - Load Information considerations (Ben, 5mn)
 - Agent Overload
 - Overload Rate Control

- (Steve, 5mn)
- (Steve, 5mn)
- Wrap-up (Chairs, ADs, 10mn)
 - Milestones
 - Next Steps

WG Status Update



Document	Editor	Status	Next Step(s)
In RFC Editor Queue			
Diameter Applications Design Guidelines	Lionel	AUTH48	RFC publication
In progress WG items (active)			
draft-ietf-dime-group-signaling	Marco	Comments received on -04	New versionWGLC?
Diameter Congestion and Filter Attributes	Serge	New version submitted	• WGLC
Diameter Overload Indication Conveyance	Steve	Interim meeting dedicated to edition of -04	new versionWGLC
draft-ietf-dime-e2e-sec-req	Jouni	"Still" expired	New versionWGLC
Proposals for Chartered Work			
Diameter Agent Overload	Steve	-03 aligned with DOIC	WG document
Diameter Overload Rate Control	Steve	-02 aligned with DOIC	WG document
Architectural Considerations for Diameter Load Information	Ben	New	• ???
Work outside of current charter			
The AddressOrPrefix Derived AVP Data Format For Diameter	Tom	No change as it seems not required anymore	Nothing
Attribute-Value Pairs For Provisioning Customer Equipment Supporting IPv4- Over-IPv6 Transitional Solutions	Tom	-05 published in Sept' 14, removing the "Common Prefix Data Format" to rely on existing AVPs	Additional reviewNew charter itemWG document



Next Step/Charter

• To be discussed