Advancing Metrics on the Standards Track:
RFC 2679 bis: One-way Delay Metric
RFC 2680 bis: One-way Loss Metric

```
draft-morton-ippm-rfc2679-bis-06
draft-morton-ippm-rfc2680-bis-04
```

Guy Almes, Sunil Kalidindi, Matt Zekauskas
Al Morton, guest editor

Nov 2014

* All original authors have been contacted now, no IPR claimed

Revisions to the –bis drafts following IETF-90

- All revisions are now mentioned in the –bis change sections
  - This is a requirement!
- First section of

draft-morton/draft-ietf-ippm-rfc2679-bis-00

- Last section of

draft-morton/draft-ietf-ippm-rfc2680-bis-00

Comments on –bis drafts prior to IETF-90

Have now addressed

- All comments from Nalini and Barry on-list, forgotten comments from Ruedger on-list, and support and many off-list comments from Joachim.

- Loss waiting time parameter

- Hardware or NIC timestamps,

- Network-based Compression,

- Editor's suggestions for added references

- XML references and bis-version ACKs

Latest Revisions: Joachim & Rudiger

- RFC Standard Formed Packets needs an explicit reference in RFC2679-bis

- Reasons to take host timestamp as late as possible

- Measurement "Instrument" used but not defined – should be "host"

- Reporting the specific stream sending pattern (covered by the singleton pairs)

- Some wording inconsistencies and mixed thoughts in the 2679 security section.

Issues to discuss further

- Occasional comments: "yet to be tested", Delete where the sentence is obsolete? Or ref to RFC (6808 for NTP & GPS)
- Standard formed depends to some extent on header checksum --- IPv6 no-gotz
- "wire-time" in the wireless world: wire-entry time as host-exit time instead (?)
- Permanent monitor on host clock synchronization quality (in RFC 2330?).
- These topics reach beyond the metrics under discussion.

Where is the "Line"? RFC 6410

- Updated 2026 with two Maturity Levels
- "The result of this change is expected to be maturity-level advancement based on achieving widespread deployment of quality specifications. Additionally, the change will result in <u>the incorporation of lessons from implementation and deployment experience</u>, and recognition that protocols are improved by removing complexity associated with unused features."

Next Steps

- Further Review?
- WGLC?

BACKUP

Backup   Backup   Backup

Active Metric Attributes:

- Source and Destination known a priori
- Stream characteristics known at the Source (at least, may be communicated to Dest. later)
- (Most) Parts of the Packet are Dedicated to Measurement (typically the transport payload)
- More…
- (Will still work in the E2E Encrypted world)