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## What is OP25B?

- It is about "to block sending email spams"
- OP25B stands for
"outbound port 25 blocking" for dynamic IP
- Email spam senders under ISP connect to SMTP servers from dynamic IP addresses
- Block most common traffic path


## Quick glance at OP25B



## Full-blown OP25B deployment in JP



## Why OP25b?

- To stop sending spam at the closest point of the source
- Not to send, instead of not to receive
- Less outbound spam traffic
- Countermeasures can focus on:
- Submission Port + SMTP AUTH
- Static IP addresses
- Webmail


## Why document now?

- Already adopted widely
- Japan: De facto standard
- EU: France Telecom, Telecom Italia, etc.
- USA: Comcast, AOL, etc.
- South America: CERT.br
- Documentation wanted
- Help ISPs that haven't implemented OP25B do it
- Technology got mature enough


## How it's done?

- Past practices documented in:
- http://www.ietf.org/id/draft-akagiri-op25b-dynamicip-00.txt
- NOTE: This document contains
- some historical steps needed 10 years ago
- local situation in Japan
- Should be simpler for ISPs that start now


## What have done?

- Past Discussions
- IRTF - ASRG (2005)
- http://www.ietf.org/mailarchive/web/asrg/current/msg11920.html
- MAAWG Recommendation (2005)
- Widely adopted in Japan around 2005
- Past issues and how solved
- False blocking of valid submissions
- Move to submission port 587 with SMTP-AUTH
- RFC4409, now RFC6409
- Too many ACLs
- Router performance improved these years


## What's next?

- Update draft to -01
- Practices for iprv6
- Remove historical and local parts
- Other communities
- JANOG works towards BCOP
- In IETF
- Is appsawg feasible for this draft?

