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In This Report

- Participants
- Network news
- IESG re-structuring
- Code @ IETF
- Ombudsperson & harassment policy
- IANA stewardship transition
- Appeals
And More Online:

- Secretariat: https://www.ietf.org/proceedings/92/progress-report.html
- NOC: (to be provided)
- Chair: http://www.ietf.org/blog
Participants
Participants

- 1176 people onsite
  - 172 newcomers
  - IETF 89 had 1400 people onsite

- 57 countries
  - IETF 89 was 60 countries

*IETF 89 was held in London, England*
Network News
Network News

• The new arrangements in our wireless network (SSIDs, security) caused a big shift in encrypted traffic*

• DNSSEC being tested on the IETF web pages hosted on a CDN provider; making this the live version soon

• Meeting network DNS (meeting.ietf.org) now uses DNSSEC

*) Also a more general trend. This isn’t related to the IETF network in any way, but more broadly, traffic management in the new situation will cause some pain and will need attention
Re-Structuring
IESG Restructuring

- Match IETF structure to current topics
- Support growth in emerging topic areas
  - Including various open source efforts
- Match management resources to workload
- Provide better flexibility
Decisions

- Flexible assignment of ADs to WGs (tools)
- Flexible definition of areas (RFC 7475)
- Additional focus on data models work, routing area
- Area-reorganisation for APP/RAI
  - down to 3 ADs from 4
  - creating the ART area (in progress)
- Various WG moves between ADs and areas
Short-Term Next Steps

- Complete the creation of the ART area
  - pending all ADs in place
  - in parallel with re-issuing RFC 5727
- Desired expertise for next nomcom
- Expect completion in May-June timeframe
- Some additional WGs may also move
Longer-Term Steps

• Looking at reduction of IESG tasks/workload

• Further re-organisation in the TSV area, with the goal of forming the ADs roles to ones that fit well potential candidate pools

• Once there are early ideas, we will bring them to the community

• Remember that IESG organisation is just organisation — the important things in the IETF are what the participants do
Code @ IETF
Code @ IETF

- Code is important!
- Code Sprint
- Hackathon
- CodeMatch
- WG's & hallways
- Open for more experiments & new ideas!
Hackathon 93

• Saturday - Sunday before the IETF (Jul 18-19, 2015)

• Start planning what you would like to do here & join us!

• Work on your favourite tech, bring your friends or students, bridge open source & standards
Ombudsperson & Harassment Policy
Anti-Harassment Policy & Ombudsperson

- The IESG issued an anti-harassment policy in 2013

- We’ve also had an ombudsperson, Linda Klieforth (klieforth@isoc.org) present in all recent meetings

- Contact her in any case where you have concerns
Development of a Community BCP

- An BCP, draft-farrresnickel-harassment-06.txt has been in development

- Goal: upgrade IESG statement to a community RFC

- Secondary goal: provide more specific procedures

(An improvement, not necessarily the last word)
Recent Issues with the Text of the BCP

- Document progressed to IESG approval

- Changes were made to accommodate some LC and IESG discussion

- A concern with the changes was raised that they seemed (unintentionally) indicate that leadership is not under the same rules but rather only recall procedures

- Any potential misbehaviour by leadership taken very seriously
Next Steps with the BCP

• The existing statement is good, but having a community-backed BCP on this topic would be an important update

• My plan is not to re-open the entire document for new discussion

• However, I will initiate a design team to address the raised substantial issues (ombudsteam vs. recall procedures, confidentiality and appeals path in serious cases) for an -07 version of the draft
IANA Stewardship Transition
IANA Stewardship Transition

- Bulk of the responsibility is in the communities being served
- IETF and the RIRs have completed their proposals
  - IANAPLAN WG formed in September, work completed in December, final document approval in January
- IANA transition Coordination Group (ICG) responded with a further clarifying question, which we responded
- At this point, we are looking forward to successful execution of the plans, while being mindful of continuing work in the names community, and international attention at all levels
Appeals
http://www.ietf.org/iesg/appeal.html

JFC Morfin: Appeal to the IESG concerning its approval of the “draft-ietf-ianaplan-icg-response

(IESG response is in progress)
Thank You