Provisioning, Auto-Discovery, and Signaling in L2VPNs for IPv6 Remote PE

draft-abhattacharya-bess-l2vpn-ipv6-remotepe

IETF 92-Dallas, March 2015

ABhattacharya@ixiacom.com amukherjee@ixiacom.com

Presenter: mohamed.boucadair@orange.com

Problem

- Excerpt form RFC7439 (Gap analysis for MPLS in IPv6 networks)
 - "[RFC6074] is the only RFC that appears to have a gap for IPv6-only operation."
 - "In its discovery procedures (Sections 3.2.2 and 6 of <u>RFC 6074</u> [<u>RFC6074</u>]), it suggests encoding PE IP addresses in the Virtual Switching Instance ID (VSI-ID)"
 - "means that a PE IP address cannot be an IPv6 address."
 - "Also, in its signaling procedures it suggests encoding PE_addr in the Source Attachment Individual Identifier (SAII) and the Target Attachment Individual Identifier (TAII), which are limited to 32 bits (AII Type=1) at the moment."
- This I-D fills this void

Update#1 to RFC6074

- Update the BGP NLRI encoding defined in Section 3.2.2.1 to carry an IPv4 address or an IPv6 address
 - –OLD: PE_addr (4 octets)
 - -NEW: PE addr (4 or 16 octets)

Update#2 to RFC6074

- Specify a new type for All carrying IPv6 address as TAII or SAII: Type (0x02)
 - A FEC 0x81 TLV MUST contain SAII and TAII of the same type (either type 1 or type 2)
- Update Section 3.2.3 to allow All Type=1 or 2

What's Next?

- This is a missing "piece" as per the RFC7439 analysis
- The proposed fixes are straightforward
- Suggestions for the next step?