#### IMAP4 revision 2

draft-melnikov-imap4rev2-02.txt

# Short History of IMAP

- RFC 1730 (IMAP4) published in 1994
- RFC 3501 (IMAP4rev1) published in 2003
- Many IMAP extensions added since 1994
  - Some of them are pretty universally deployed, e.g. LITERAL+, UIDPLUS, NAMESPACE
- Mark Crispin passed away a couple of years ago
- More implementation (especially clients) were written recently
  - Many don't show up in IETF
- There is an active community of client and server developers

## Goals - general

- Make life easier for client developers who would be able to only implement IMAP4rev2 in the future
  - But try not to boil the ocean in the process
- Easy to implement for server implementors, as they do most of the new things included in IMAP4rev2
- IMAP4rev2 can co-exist with IMAP4rev1 on the same port

#### Goals - fixes

- Include errata for RFC 3501
- Update TLS recommendations (as per UTA WG)
- Clarify the most problematic areas, such as
  - BODYSTRUCTURE (parsed MIME structure) is still quite buggy in some implementations

### Goals - cleanup

- Get rid of UTF-7 encoded Unicode mailbox names
- Get rid of \Recent flag
  - Multiclient access to the same mailbox made this obsolete
- Get rid of reporting of the first unseen message in a mailbox being opened?
  - Who cares, if you have 60000 messages in your mailbox?
  - Extra work for servers, hardly ever needed by clients
- Replace SEARCH responses with ESEARCH
- Anything else?

### Goals - improvements

- Merge in "popular" IMAP extensions which
  - Make the protocol more symmetrical (UNSELECT, UIDPLUS)
  - Avoid the need for clients to guess server configuration (NAMESPACE, SPECIAL-USE, bits of LIST-EXTENDED)
  - Make responses more compact/less chatty (ESEARCH)
  - Combine frequently used operations (STATUS + LIST)

## Challenges

- What is "popular" IMAP extension?
  - Implemented by multiple servers/needed by multiple clients?
  - The same idea implemented in 3 different ways in widely used servers?
  - Implemented by vendor X (or "X and Y") because they own the market?
- My take: many servers and many clients using a feature, must be documented in some form (ideally in an RFC)

### What I've done so far

- Posted IMAP4rev1 with errata fixed
- 2 revisions incorporating some changes according to the "Goals" slides
  - So far most changes are adding, not deleting
  - See Appendix B in the draft
- Most of "easy" work is done

#### What's next?

- For now this is an individual effort. I don't want to distract from the newly formed IMAPAPND WG work
- Reviews about what should be in and what should be out are very welcome!
- Might speed up this work depending on feedback

### Open Issues

- Get rid of LSUB (use extended LIST)?
- Add IDLE?
  - Very common, but requires special mailstore infrastructure to implement. Occasionally broken in servers.
- Add SASL-IR?
- Add BINARY?