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## Changes since -09 (Interim)

- Define imageattr behavior
- Clarify BUNDLE behavior
- with non-BUNDLE endpoints
- Balanced
- Stop overriding RTP-usage for CNAME behavior
- Define LS behavior
- Explicitly don't check "c=" line
- Require parsing "b=" line


## \#162: LS

- Answerer can't add m= lines to LS groups
- S 9.2 of RFC 5888 prohibits this; can only return a subset
- Problem when caller/callee disagree on sync
- e.g. if callee wants a/v synced, but caller doesn't
- Could add new $m=$ line in this case, but this complicates $m=$ line assignment algorithm
- Proposal: this is an edge case; just document that this is currently unsupported. The callee can accept what caller wanted ot reject the call


## \#158 (imageattr and CVO)

- How do you indicate resolutions for multiple orientations?
- I support 640x360 in landscape, but if I rotate I support $360 \times 640$ in portrait
- This is what CVO is for, and handles it well
- But what if I don't have CVO?
- Magnus looked into this from 3GPP angle and you're supposed to interpret a single imageattr as supporting both orientations
- Proposal: use a single imageattr always


## \#122: AS->TIAS conversion

- We previously decided to use TIAS whenever possible, but accept AS.
- Need to define how a JSEP impl should convert an AS value to a TIAS equivalent
- Proposal:

TIAS = AS * 0.95 - packet_rate * hdr_size packet_rate $=50$
hdr_size = 40

- If you want more accuracy, set TIAS :-)


## \#125: BUNDLE and SDP mangling

Can one unbundle things that are bundled by createOffer (i.e. before setLD)?
Technically this would work, but requires up to 3 changes:
a. change a=group:BUNDLE
b. remove a=bundle-only
c. change $m=$ foo 9 to $m=f o o ~ 1$

Proposal: Do not support this

## \#155: Selecting between multiple aspect

 ratios- JSEP clients generate one imageattr value
- Other clients may generate multiple with different $q=$ values; how do we select?
- e.g. with different aspect ratio requests
- Should we try to avoid all scaling? Preserve aspect ratio? Could get complicated...
- Proposal: Implementations SHOULD pick imageattr with highest $q=$ value that doesn't require upscaling


## \#15: Early Transport Warmup

- Want to get ICE/DTLS started while call ringing; requires answer with ICE/DTLS attribs
- We discourage use of PRANSWER; it leaves the state machine in a non-stable state (S 4.1.4.1)
- Currently recommended mechanism:
- offer + a=inactive answer to start DTLS during ringing
- when accepted, a=sendrecv offer to start media
- However, we received comments that this could lead to signaling/media race + clipping
- Is this true? (a=inactive prohibits receiving)


## \#15: Early Transport Warmup (2)

- Question: can callee send caller media at this before recving second answer?
- Yes, if callee used a=sendonly in initial answer
- But, this would cause callee to start sending media, so callee would have to give a=inactive to setLD, but send a=sendonly to caller
- This is suboptimal


## \#15: Early Transport Warmup (3)

- Alternate proposal:
- a=sendonly in initial answer

■ accomplished by setting RTCRtpReceiver.active = false

- Once call accepted, start transmitting media
- accomplished by setting RTCRtpEncodingParams.active $=$ false
- and ask for incoming media
- accomplished by setting RTCRtpReceiver.active = true, and reoffer


## \#143: Can you call CreateOffer in remotepranswer

- Argument for
- Not necessary for createOffer to enforce this state; you can't call setLocal() anyway
- Behavior of createOffer is unambiguous (same as stable); prohibiting this requires more state checks
- Argument against
- You can't call setLocal(), and this violates 3264 semantics
- Proposal: Simplest option - just allow this


## \#149: SSRC and clock rate

- Streams are signaled with their SSRCs ( $a=s s r c$ )
- But RFC 7190 prohibits changing clock rate within an SSRC
- Like between Opus and G. 711
- One could re-offer here, but we don't want to re-offer every time we want to change the send codec
- Proposal: document the limitation and move on
- i.e. note that when changing between codecs with different clock rates, you will get wacky RTP timestamps
- Can revisit moving away from a=ssrc in the future once MID and ESID behaviors are better understood


## \#169: Multiple a=fingerprint lines

- For hash agility, endpoints may have have multiple certificates with different hash algorithms
- This means we need to generate and verify multiple a=fingerprint lines
- Proposal:
- Generate a=fingerprint line for each certificate
- Ensure received certificate matches a=fingerprint line with corresponding hash

