Transport Service (TAPS) Working Group

Aaron Falk aaron.falk@gmail.com

Note well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

The IETF plenary session

The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG

Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or

any other list functioning under IETF auspices

Any IETF working group or portion thereof

Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session

The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB

The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult **RFC 5378** and **RFC 3979** for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Agenda (1)

Administrivia (5 min)

Review of schedule for taking draft-ietf-taps-transports to working group last call this year. (5 min)

Review of protocols we don't have text for yet (5 min)

 Q: Are there any other missing protocols worth holding up the document?

Document Review (30 min)

- Discussion of "refactored" transport protocol components.
- Q: Is this an acceptable approach?

Agenda (2)

Substantive Discussion: Features (30 min)

- Q: Administrative question: where is the split between doc1 & doc2?
- Q: Primary technical question: what do we know about the features that belong in this minimum set that we can start writing?

Substantive Discussion: Interfaces (30 min)

- Discussion on interfaces/APIs and how we should consider them as input for the TAPS effort.
- Q: Does this start work on the second document or is there something we can take from (abstract and concrete) interfaces already deployed that would inform the decomposition of transport protocols/services?

Schedule

When	What	Notes
Sept 2015	Interim wg call to review doc1 wglc candidate	Proposed mtg
Jun 2015 Dec 2015	Doc 1: Submit an Informational document to the IESG defining a set of services provided by IETF transport protocols and congestion control mechanisms	
Dec 2015 July 2016	Doc 2: Submit an Informational document to the IESG recommending a minimal set of Transport Services that end systems should support	Proposed date changes
Mar 2016 July 2017	Doc 3: Submit an Experimental document to the IESG specifying one or more methods to provide applications with the Transport Services identified by the WG	
Mar 2016 July 2017	Recharter or conclude.	

Discussion: Features

Regardless of how we decompose the protocols, we do know enough about *some* transport service features to start writing detailed information about the features -- the discussion here will focus on draft-gjessing-taps-minset and/or section 4 of draft-ietf-taps-transports.

- Q: Administrative question: where is the split between these documents?
- Q: Primary technical question: what do we know about the features that belong in this minimum set that we can start writing?

Discussion: Interfaces

Discussion on interfaces/APIs and how we should consider them as input for the TAPS effort. There are three broad areas of questions about the eventual interface that TAPS will provide:

- (a) what are the knobs? (and who gets to turn them: app developer, user, administrator, kernel developer, etc)?
- (b) what are the meters (and who gets to see them)?
- (c) what are the patterns of interaction supported by existing interfaces?
- (•) Q: Does this start work on the second document or is there something we can take from (abstract and concrete) interfaces already deployed that would inform the decomposition of transport protocols/services?