

 $-00 \rightarrow -01$ 

- Fixed Ayer's signature reuse vulnerability
- Fixed default vhost vulnerabilities
- Added versioning to challenge names
  - simpleHttp → http-01, etc.
- Forgot to remove the "DO NOT IMPLEMENT" caveat

#### SIGNATURE REUSE

- Issue: Reliance on non-standard properties of signature
- Solution: Remove the signature, just digest what you want
- Bonus: Consistency across validation mechanisms

```
token.base64url(JWK_Thumbprint(accountKey))
DePg9...i1D_z.hG1lp...NhkSE
```

#### DEFAULT VIRTUAL HOST

- Issue: Some hosting platforms route TLS requests for an unknown serve to a default virtual host
- Solution:
  - Remove tls option from HTTP validation
  - Add iterations to TLS SNI validation (revert?)

#### $-01 \rightarrow NOW$

- Merged a couple of editoral PRs
- Remembered to remove the "DO NOT IMPLEMENT" caveat

#### **MERGED!**

- #18. Clarify encoding for certs in PoP challenge
- #24. Remove obsolete references to "Simple HTTP"
- #28. Update the caveat in the abstract

# **TODAY**

- Issues
- Pull requests

# 特別警戒実施中

Extra security is under enforcement

与 り 等 別 等 戒 实 施 中

#### #23. ADD DOMAIN TO CHALLENGE 1. CHALLENGE 2. DOMAIN. ACME. INVALID

- Would provide a hint to TLS hosting layer as to where to send the reques
- ... but no current stack would actually consume it
- ... and it risks running into the 255 byte limit
- **Proposal:** WONTFIX

### **#17. ADD RATELIMITED ERROR**

- Errors are currently required to be in the urn: acme namespace
- Should we REQUIRE servers not to emit errors in this namespace that are not registered?
- If we make this requirement, what should servers do to extend the space

## **#9. USE AN EXTENSION FOR SIMPLEHTTP PATHS**

- Currently, require text/plain or nothing
- This raises the question of how to get the server to emit this content type
- **Proposal:** Use a POST to registration URL

## **#14. SUPPORT KEY ROLLOVER FOR ACCOUNT KEY**

- Currently, a registration has the same account key forever
- Clients might want to periodically rotate
- **Proposal:** Remove content type requirement
  - Have old key sign over new key
  - Have new key sign over original registration

# #14. SUPPORT KEY ROLLOVER FOR ACCOUNT KEY

```
POST /acme/reg/asdfasdf HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com

{
    "newKey": {
        "resource": "new-reg",
        "registration": "/acme/reg/asdfasdf",
     }
    /* signed as JWK with new key */
}
/* signed as JWK with original key */
```

# #25. ACME SHOULD EXPOSE AN ENDPOINT FOR CI SCT PROOFS

- SCT is provisional proof of inclusion in a CT log
- Send SCT in X.509, OCSP, or TLS extension
- TLS extension flavor requires explicit download
- **Proposal:** Add a Link header from the certificate resource
- Probably also note the other ways a CA can provide CT info

HTTP/1.1 200 OK

Content-Type: application/pkix-cert

Link: </acme/cert/c5111dc6>;rel="signed-certificate-timestamp"

# #16. HTTP-01 PROTOCOL

- Actually three issues:
- "Base64" strings are actually "Base64url"
- Libraries often add a zero octet to big integers
- Complete example of key → key authorization

## #16. HTTP-01 PROTOCOL

- Proposed:
- s/Base64/Base64url/g
- Clarify that the zero octet MUST be removed (cite JWK)
- Add a complete example (possibly in the context of a full protocol example appendix?)

# #15. REQUEST CERTIFICATE LIFETIME

- Client should be able to request a certificate lifetime
- Design philosophy:
  - Use CSRs for:
    - 1. Things that the certified key pair needs to sign
    - 2. Things that can be expressed in a CSR
  - Use JSON in the new-certificate request for everything else

# #15. REQUEST CERTIFICATE LIFETIME

#### Thus saith **RFC 2986**:

Note 4 - This document is not compatible with the certification request syntax for Privacy-Enhanced Mail, as described in RFC 1424 [5]. The syntax here differs in three respects: It allows a set of attributes; it does not include issuer name, serial number, or validity period; and it does not require an "innocuous" message to be signed. This document is designed to minimize request size, an important feature for certification authorities accepting requests on paper.

## #15. REQUEST CERTIFICATE LIFETIME

**Proposed:** Add some JSON to the new-certificate request to express either a life time (as a duration) or proposed notBefore / notAfter.

```
POST /acme/new-cert HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/pkix-cert

{
    "resource": "new-cert",
    "csr": "5jNudRx6Ye4HzKEqT5...FS6aKdZeGsysoCo4H9P",
    "durationDays": "90",
    "notBefore": "2016-01-01T00:00:00",
    "notAfter": "2116-04-01T00:00:00"
}
```

### **#22. SIMPLIFY TLS SNI CHALLENGE**

- In some hosting configs, TLS requests for an unknown server name go to a default host
- If that default host can provision a cert that fulfils a TLS-SNI challenge, he can get a cert for any other host
- Fix in -01 is to check a random set of hosts, assuming certs can't change fast enough
- This is a lot of hassle, for marginal protection
- **Proposal:** Remove default vhost protection from TLS-SNI

# **#4. ALLOW PORTS OTHER THAN 443**

- http-01 always connects on port 80
- tls-sni-01 always connects on port 443
- These can overlap with existing services
- Or an admin might not control them

# **#4. ALLOW PORTS OTHER THAN 443**

No proposal, but some options

- 1. Do nothing. Continue to use 80/443
- 2. Define new port(s) just for ACME
- 3. Allow the server to specify acceptable ports, client picks
- 4. Define some list of acceptable ports

# FIN