Benchmarking Methodology WG (bmwg) 94th IETF

- Tuesday, November 3rd, 2015
 (0900-1130 Japan Time, UTC+9:00)
- Chairs:
 - Al Morton (acmorton(at)att.com)
 - Sarah Banks (sbanks(at)encrypted.net)
 - PLEASE MOVE CLOSE TO THE FRONT
- If you are not subscribed to the BMWG mailing list and would like to be, please go to https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/bmwg

Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- •The IETF plenary session
- •The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- •Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- •Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- •Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- •The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- •The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function
- •All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of <u>RFC 5378</u> and <u>RFC 3979</u> (updated by <u>RFC 4879</u>).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult <u>RFC 5378</u> and <u>RFC 3979</u> for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

BMWG Agenda

(Any Bashing needed?)

3

Note-Taker(s), Jabber, IPR, Blue Sheets

1a. New Charter and Milestones

1b. WG Status Presenter: Chairs

2. DataCenter Benchmarking Presenter: Lucien

3. IPv6 Transition Benchmarking Presenter: Marius

4. VNF and Infrastructure Benchmarking Considerations Presenter: Al

5. Benchmarking for SDN Controller Performance Presenter: Bhuvan

6. vSwitch Benchmarking in OPNFV Presenter: Maryam

7. Benchmarking for CoPP, Control Plane Policing Presenter: Shishio Tsuchiya

8. Considerations for Benchmarking High Availability of NFV (representing the authors, Kim and Paik, KT)

Presenter: Taeho Kang

9. VNF Benchmark-as-a-Service, VBaaS: Problem Statement and Challenges Presenter: Robert Szabo'

10. Benchmarking@25+

LAST. AOB

Quick WG Status

- BGP Dataplane IESG Approved -MISSREF
- Traffic Management RFC 7640
- In-Service SW Upgrade RFC 7654
- NEW WG drafts on DC Bench, Virtual VNFV and NFVI
- WG Adopted SDN Controller & IPv6 trans
- New work proposals keep coming

BMWG Activity

- New RFCs:
 - <Last Time> 7501 and 7502 SIP Device Benchmarking
 - >> Need to "DONE" milestones!
- Charter Update
 - none
- Supplementary BMWG Page
 - See http://home.comcast.net/~acmacm/BMWG/

Current Milestones

•	DONE	Basic BGP Convergence Benchmarking Methodology to IESG Review
•	DONE	Terminology for SIP Device Benchmarking to IESG Review
•	DONE	Methodology for SIP Device Benchmarking to IESG Review
•	DONE	Draft on Traffic Management Benchmarking to IESG Review
•	Dec 2014	Draft on IPv6 Neighbor Discovery to IESG Review
•	DONE	Draft on In-Service Software Upgrade Benchmarking to IESG Review
•	Aug 2015	Draft on VNF Benchmarking Considerations to IESG Review
•	Dec 2015	Drafts on Data Center Benchmarking to IESG Review
•	NEED to ADD	MILESTONES: SDN Controller and IPv6 Transition

Standard "Paragraph" (intro/security)

Benchmarking activities as described in this memo are limited to technology characterization using controlled stimuli in a laboratory environment, with dedicated address space and the constraints specified in the sections above.

The benchmarking network topology will be an independent test setup and MUST NOT be connected to devices that may forward the test traffic into a production network, or misroute traffic to the test management network.

Further, benchmarking is performed on a "black-box" basis, relying solely on measurements observable external to the DUT/SUT.

Special capabilities SHOULD NOT exist in the DUT/SUT specifically for benchmarking purposes. Any implications for network security arising from the DUT/SUT SHOULD be identical in the lab and in production networks.

Work Proposal Summary Matrix

Work Area > Criteria ∖/	vSwitch	OPNFV vSWperf	СоРР	НА	
Proposal	Y	Y	Y	Y	
In Scope of Charter? (acm)	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Draft(s)	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Sig. Support at meetings		Y			
Sig. Support on List					
Dependenci es/Notes					

BMWG Activity

Related Active Docs (not working group documents):

Draft name

Rev. Status Comments

<see on-line agenda>

Not recently active

http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-parise-bmwg-ldp-convergence-term-00 2013?

draft-hamilton-bmwg-ca-bench-term -00 2011-03

draft-manral-bmwg-power-usage-03.txt 2013-01

draft-player-dcb-benchmarking -04 2011-04