On control

dbound, IETF 94, Yokohama JP Andrew Sullivan <ajs@anvilwalrusden.com>

Two-way control

- <u>sub.example.com</u> and <u>example.com</u> are in the same policy realm; therefore
 - <u>sub.example.com</u> "accepts" <u>example.com</u> and <u>example.com</u> "accepts" <u>sub.example.com</u>
 - What SOPA intended to solve
 - No obvious intrinsic link to DNS hierarchy
 - Maybe we want that anyway

One-way control

- <u>example.com</u> has a policy that *ought to* (FSVO) apply to <u>sub.example.com</u>
 - no matter what <u>sub.example.com</u> thinks
 - necessarily linked to the DNS hierarchy
 - need to find "nearest public" boundary
 - does this automatically lead to tree climbing?