

#### Yokohama, Japan

# DIME WG IETF 94

#### **Agenda & Status**



Tuesday, November 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2016

Chairs: Jouni Korhonen, Lionel Morand



#### **Note Well**

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function


All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.


A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

### **Intellectual Property**




- When starting a presentation you MUST say if:
  - There is IPR associated with your draft
  - The restrictions listed in section 5 of RFC 3978/4748 apply to your draft
- When asking questions or commenting on a draft:
  - You MUST disclose any IPR you know of relating to the technology under discussion
- References
  - RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879)
  - "Note well" text




### Agenda 1/2

- Preliminaries (Chairs, 5mn)
  - Note takers/jabber scribes
  - Agenda Bashing
- WG Status Update (Chairs, 5mn)
- WG Document presentations (Authors, 45mn)
  - Diameter Request Message Priority (Steve, 20mn)
    - draft-ietf-dime-drmp-01
  - Diameter Load Control (Steve, 10mn)
    - draft-ietf-dime-load-01
  - Diameter overload control extensions (Steve, 10mn)
    - draft-ietf-dime-agent-overload-03
    - draft-ietf-dime-doic-rate-control-02

### Agenda 2/2




- WG Document presentations
  - Diameter Group Signaling, Marco (5mn)
    - draft-ietf-dime-group-signaling-05
- Wrap-up (Chairs, ADs, 5 mn)
  - Milestones
  - Next Steps




## WG Status Update (1/2)

Document	Editor	Status	Next Step(s)
RFC Published			
Diameter Overload Indication Conveyance	Jouni	Published as RFC 7683	• Champagne!
AVPs for Provisioning CE Supporting v4/v6	Tom	Published as RFC 7678	<ul> <li>Retirement</li> </ul>
Diameter Congestion and Filter Attributes	Lyle	Published as RFC 7660	<ul> <li>Retirement</li> </ul>
In RFC Editor Queue			
None			
Proposals for Chartered Work			
None			
Work outside of current charter			
None			



# WG Status Update (2/2)

Document	Editor	Status	Next Step(s)	
In progress WG items (active)				
Diameter Group Signaling	Marco	No Comment/review on -05	• WGLC?	
Diameter Request Message Priority	Steve	New WG document Ongoing discussion after -01	<ul><li>new version</li><li>WGLC</li></ul>	
Diameter Load Information Conveyance	Steve	some comments on -01. Should be captured in -02	WGLC after -02?	
Diameter Overload Rate Control	Steve	No comment on -02 and IETF93	• WGLC?	
Diameter Agent Overload		No comment on -02 and IETF93	• WGLC?	
Diameter E2E security requirements	Jouni	Write-Up	IESG review	



#### **Milestones**

Done - Submit 'problem statement and requirements for Diameter end-to-end security framework' as Dime working group item

Done - Submit a document on 'Protocol extension for bulk and group signaling' as a working group item

Done - Submit I-D 'Diameter Overload Control Requirements' as a working group document. To be Informational RFC

Done - Submit I-D 'Diameter Overload Control' as a working group document. To be Standards Track RFC

Done - Submit I-D 'Diameter Overload Control Requirements' to the IESG for consideration as a Informational

Dec 2012 - Submit 'problem statement and requirements for Diameter end-to-end security framework' to the IESG for consideration as an Informational RFC

Done - Submit I-D 'Diameter Overload Control' to the IESG for consideration as a Proposed Standard

Aug 2013 - Submit a document on 'Protocol extension for bulk and group signaling' to the IESG for consideration as a Proposed Standard

Done - Submit I-D 'Diameter Congestion and Filter Attributes' to the IESG for considerations as a Proposed Standard

Done - Submit a document on 'Diameter Agent Overload' as a working group item

Done - Submit a document on 'Diameter Overload Control Rate Abatement Algorithm' as a working group item

Done - Submit a document on 'Diameter Load Information' as a working group item

Jan 2016 - Submit I-D 'Diameter Agent Overload' to the IESG for consideration as a Proposed Standard

Jan 2016 - Submit I-D 'Diameter Overload Control Rate Abatement Algorithm' to the IESG for consideration as a Proposed Standard

Mar 2016 - Submit I-D 'Diameter Load Information' to the IESG for consideration as a Proposed Standard





- To be discussed:
  - Need for an Interim Meeting?
  - Next topics to add in the charter, if needed