Multicast versus WiFi

Mike McBride, Charlie Perkins draft-mcbride-mboned-wifi-mcast-problem-statement

> IETF94 Yokohama 5th Nov 2015

Three issues

- Low Bandwidth
 - Constrained by slowest local recipient
- Increased congestion
 - Due to longer occupancy of the physical medium
 - Also the need for higher power
 - *Hundreds* of times as much interference
- Poor reliability
 - 802.11 products are optimized for unicast
 - Delivery is not acknowledged at layer 2

Workarounds

- Conversion to serial unicast
 - Pretty much defeats the purpose
- 802.11 doesn't provide for L2 ack and retry for L2 multicast so the packet loss can be higher than for unicast. Provide an L2 ack for mcast.
- More ideas needed

From List

- -Start with a business and standardization facing problem statement
- -State requirements on L1/L2 protocols when it comes to unicast, multicast and broadcast handling of packets.
- -Add a class of service specification to multicast packets that indicates their sensitivity to loss.
- -Multicast to unicast conversion is all non-standard.
- -The IETF has to decide if it wants to design IP over 802.11
- -How good of performance of L2 multicast is needed (BER/packet loss)?
- -Multicast packets should be delivered with less than 1% packet loss
- -Multicast packets should be delivered within 200-500ms (for instance DAD requires answer within 1s)
- -The solution space has been explored in the context of WPANs (802.15.4) and there is value in extending this to WLANs.

Next Steps

- Identify other issues (if any)
- Analyze extent performance degradation
- Identify reasonable workarounds
- Possibly consider 802.15 as well
- Ask for encouragement to continue the work
- Resubmit for fuller consideration at next IETF