



IETF 94 – Yokohama  
Nov 2015

# YANG Data Model for LDP and mLDP

(draft-raza-mpls-ldp-mldp-yang-02)

Kamran Raza	(Cisco)
Reshad Rahman	(Cisco)
Rajiv Asati	(Cisco)
Xufeng Liu	(Ericsson)
Jeff Tantsura	(Ericsson)
Santosh Esale	(Juniper)
Jescia Chen	(Huawei)
Loa Andresson	(Huawei)
Himanshu Shah	(Ciena)
Matthew Bocci	(Alcatel-Lucent)

... and several other contributors as acknowledged in the draft

# History

## ➤ Design Team:

- Multi-vendor, multi-operator design team
- Formed during/after IETF 91 and extended since then

## ➤ Document / I.D Revisions:

- Rev -00 posted before, and presented at, IETF 92 in Dallas.
  - Covered base LDP configuration, rpc, and notification
- Rev -01 posted before, and presented at, IETF 93 in Prague.
  - Covered base mLDP configuration, rpc, and notification
- Rev -02 posted before this IETF 94 in Yokohama
  - Alignment with mpls-base and open-config (work in progress)

# Changes in Rev -02

- Alignment with mpls-base
  - augments “mpls” defined in ietf-mpls module [I-D.saad-mpls-static-yang]
- Alignment with open-config operational model
  - follows the approach described in [I-D.openconfig-netmod-opstate] to represent the configuration (intended state) and operational (applied and derived) state
- Clarifies the semantics of a “default” VRF in our model in view of [RFC4364]

# Alignment with mpls-base

Rev -01

```
module: ietf-mpls-ldp  
  
+-- routing (or routing-state)  
  
+-- routing-instance [name]  
  
+-- routing-protocols  
  
+-- routing-protocol [name]  
  
+-- mpls-ldp  
  
...
```



Rev -02

```
module: ietf-mpls-ldp  
  
+-- routing (or routing-state)  
  
+-- routing-instance [name]  
  
+-- mpls  
  
+-- mpls-ldp  
  
...
```

[I.D.saad-mpls-  
static-cfg]

\* For interface enabling, alignment with mpls-base interface is pending

# Operational State

- Ref [I-D.openconfig-netmod-opstate], two types of operational state:
  - Applied – actual state corresponding to an intended/configuration item
  - Derived – state generated as result of protocol own interactions
- To comply with above, our mode defines:
  - a “config” and corresponding “state” container for every configuration item (or set of item) to provide applied state
  - “mpls-ldp” state tree that augments MPLS routing-state tree
- This model is applied on both LDP and mLDP.

# Operational State: Example

## Applied State

```
module: ietf-mpls-ldp
augment /rt:routing/rt:routing-instance/mpls:mpls:
+--rw mpls-ldp!
  +--rw global
  | +--rw config
  | | +--rw lsr-id
  | | +--rw nonstop-routing
  | +--ro state
  |   +--ro lsr-id?
  |   +--ro nonstop-routing
+--rw address-family* [af]
| ...
| ...
```

## Derived State

```
module: ietf-mpls-ldp
augment /rt:routing-state/rt:routing-instance/mpls:mpls:
+--ro mpls-ldp
  +-- ...
  +-- ...
```

# Pending / Open Items

- Policies:
  - Global
- Derived State
  - Some work has started but not captured in rev -02.

# Next Steps

- I.D:
  - Extend LDP/mLDP YANG data model for “derived” states
  - Align with mpls-base for LDP/mLDP interfaces
  
- WG:
  - Seeking comments
  - Asking for WG adoption