# OAuth 2.0 JWT Authorizat ion Request (OAuth JAR)

IETF 94 Yokohama

Nat Sakimura (Nomura Research Institute)

John Bradley (Ping Identity)

## Received comments

- Two contributions (Hannes, Brian)
  - Editorial Comments: 12
  - Technical Comments: 9

### **Technical Comments**

#### • 1. Introduction

- 2. Statically signed request object replay threats?
- 3. Cached request ditto
- 4. Tampering advantage needs to be explained better.

#### 3 Request Object

- Unclear whether the request object be JWE only.
- Conflict with PoP Key Distribution Draft
  - '... the Authorization Request Object SHOULD contain the Claims "iss" (issue r) and "aud" (audience) as members ...', however, that will produce a paramet er name conflict with the "aud" parameter from OAuth 2.0 Proof-of-Possessio n: Authorization Server to Client Key Distribution. Seems like draft-ietf-oauth-pop-key-distribution will need to change its parameter name (aud in JWT is pretty well established). And shouldn't draft-ietf-oauth-jwsreq register some of the JWT's Registered Claim Names (at least iss and aud but maybe expland others) as authorization request OAuth parameters?

# **Technical Comments (continued)**

- 4.2.1. URL Referencing the Request Object
  - Drop second para as it is OIDC specific.
- Section 5.2
  - Should request\_object\_signing\_alg live here or just normatively reference OIDC, or should it go to registration draft?
- Section 6
  - Error response: Just normatively reference 3.1.2.6 of OpenID Connect Core and do not duplicate here.
- Section 7
  - Flase statement:
    - The request\_object\_signing\_alg OAuth Dynamic Client Registration Metadat
      a is pending registration by OpenID Connect Dynamic Registration specificati
      on.
    - The registry doesn't have it and Connect's Registration "makes no requests of IANA".