

# NXDOMAIN cut, next steps

Stéphane Bortzmeyer - AFNIC & Shumon Huque - Verisign Labs

IETF 95 - Buenos Aires - dnsop WG

# Recent news

- Lots of discussion before the adoption by the WG

- Lots of discussion before the adoption by the WG
- Draft -00 published in december 2015

- Lots of discussion before the adoption by the WG
- Draft -00 published in december 2015
- Everything quiet after that

- Lots of discussion before the adoption by the WG
- Draft -00 published in december 2015
- Everything quiet after that
- Two implementations discovered (Unbound and PowerDNS)

- Lots of discussion before the adoption by the WG
- Draft -00 published in december 2015
- Everything quiet after that
- Two implementations discovered (Unbound and PowerDNS)
- Draft -01 published in march 2016, generating 105 messages on the list

- 1 The idea is to **recommend** a behaviour, without requiring (SHOULD, not MUST, not MAY)



- ① The idea is to **recommend** a behaviour, without requiring (SHOULD, not MUST, not MAY)
- ② Too much “implementation-oriented”? Domain names **are a tree**. It's a model, not an implementation. Text to add for -02

- ① The idea is to **recommend** a behaviour, without requiring (SHOULD, not MUST, not MAY)
- ② Too much “implementation-oriented”? Domain names **are a tree**. It's a model, not an implementation. Text to add for -02
- ③ “Security” issue with cache poisoning. Can I delete the whole .com with a successful packet? Limit NXDOMAIN cut to DNSSEC like Unbound does? MAY? Possible reference to `draft-fujiwara-dnsop-nsec-aggressiveuse`?

- 1 The idea is to **recommend** a behaviour, without requiring (SHOULD, not MUST, not MAY)
- 2 Too much “implementation-oriented”? Domain names **are a tree**. It’s a model, not an implementation. Text to add for -02
- 3 “Security” issue with cache poisoning. Can I delete the whole .com with a successful packet? Limit NXDOMAIN cut to DNSSEC like Unbound does? MAY? Possible reference to `draft-fujiwara-dnsop-nsec-aggressiveuse`?
- 4 Can a resolver send cached responses under the NXDOMAIN cut? “Eventual consistency”? (It was for  $\neq$  servers.) SHOULD? Not explicit in -01

# Way forward

- 1 Document is ready after -02 will be published?

# Way forward

- 1 Document is ready after -02 will be published?
- 2 Or is there a big unsolved problem?