Support for multiple provisioning domains in IPv6 Neighbor Discovery Protocol

draft-ietf-mif-mpvd-ndp-support-03

Jouni Korhonen, Sri Gundavelli, Suresh Khrisnan

IETF 95

Buenos Aires

Outline

- Changes from -02 to -03
- Size considerations
- Discussion

Changes from -02 to -03

- Addressed the comments:
 - No separate PDV-ID option; everything now in one ND option.
 - Solicitation for PVD removed and the PVD_CO option is now only allowed in RAs.
 - Length of the hash and signature added -> if the 'name type' is not known skipping the hash and signature is possible.
 - No 'S' flag to indicate presence of signature.. the 'Sec Length' does the same.
 - No fancy alignments within the option..

Size considerations

- Yes.. signatures will grow the RA size.
 - However, no certs are transported in RAs.
- Yes.. possible ND option duplication for options encapsulated inside the PVD_CO for explicit PVD and those meant for implicit PVD.
 - Be conservative what to advertise in RAs.

The PVD_CO option

- It is a container that embeds existing and future NDP options..
- PVD unaware implementations skip everything (the 'length' is tuned accordingly).

Discussion

- At minimum the PVD_CO option can just be used to _only_ carry the PVD Identifier:
 - The identifier belongs to other draft and can be 'whatever'..
 - Still allows embedding other NDP options is needed for some use case.. with authentication.
- Something more or less needed?