

Stream Schedulers and User Message Interleaving for SCTP

Randall Stewart (randall@lakerest.net)

Michael Tüxen (tuexen@fh-muenster.de)

Salvatore Loreto (Salvatore.Loreto@ericsson.com)

Robin Seggelmann (rfc@robin-seggelmann.com)

Status

- draft-ietf-tsvwg-sctp-ndata-05.txt
- Addressed reported issues
- Added a generic description of stream schedulers
- Some additional comments from Karen received.

Implementation Status

- Running Code for FreeBSD (Hackathon)
- Found Issues:
 - Handling of TSNs at the sender and receiver not good enough specified.
 - Negotiation of support of I-FORWARD-TSN chunks not described.
 - Text requiring I-FORWARD-TSN with I-DATA and FORWARD-TSN with DATA missing.
 - API issue on the receiver side on the interleaving of messages on the same stream.

ToDo

- Address Karen's comments.
- Address issues found during the Hackathon.

RFC 4960 Errata and Issues

Randall Stewart (randall@lakerest.net)

Michael Tuexen (tuexen@fh-muenster.de)

Karen Nielsen (karen.nielsen@tieto.com)

Maksim Proshin (mproshin@tieto.mera.ru)

Status

- draft-tuexen-tsvwg-rfc4960-errata-02.txt
- 23 issues currently addressed, each one in its own section using the old text / new text style and providing an explanation why the change is done.
- Using an issue tracker at <https://github.com/sctplab/rfc4960bis>
- The issue tracker currently contains 18 open issues.

ToDo

- Address issues in the issue tracker
- Address upcoming issues
- Address SACK.delay parameter issue, if agreed by the authors of draft-morand-tsvwg-sctp-parameters-update-00
- WG adoption?

Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) Network Address Translation Support

Randall Stewart (randall@lakerest.net)

Michael Tüxen (tuexen@fh-muenster.de)

Irene Rüngeler (i.ruengeler@fh-muenster.de)

Status

- draft-ietf-tsvwg-natsupp-08.txt
- Editorial changes have been made to improve the readability of the document

Features

- SCTP-specific way of doing NAT with NAPT properties.
- It is using the verification tag and the port numbers as an association identifier (46-bit of randomness)
- Doesn't require any changes to the SCTP packet when processed by the NAT box.
- Needs support from the NAT box and the endpoints.

ToDo

- Split up considerations for
 - NATs
 - Endpoints
- Cover translation from IPv6 to IPv4 and vice versa.

Additional Considerations for UDP Encapsulation of Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) Packets

Michael Tüxen (tuexen@fh-muenster.de)

Randall Stewart (randall@lakerest.net)

RFC 6951 in a Nutshell

- RFC 6951 describes the UDP encapsulation of SCTP packets.
- An end-point automatically updates the remote encapsulation port. This includes turning on/off UDP encapsulation.
- RFC 6951 states that you **MUST** do this update after
 - Finding the SCTP association for an incoming packet
 - Checking the verification tag of the received packet

The Issue

- RFC 6951 does not describe what an endpoint does, when it can't perform the required checks.
- How to handle:
 - Out of the blue (OOTB) packets
 - Packets containing an INIT-chunk for an existing association.

The Solution

- For OOTB packets use a "reflection" mode if a response packet has to be sent.
- For packets containing an INIT chunk matching an existing association
 - Don't update the encapsulation behavior.
 - If there is a mismatch between the received packet and the current encapsulation behavior, the end-point **MUST** send an ABORT and **MAY** include a new error cause.
 - If the packet matches the current encapsulation behavior, respond with an INIT-ACK.
- Limitation: A client can't change its UDP encapsulation behavior during a restart. Seems acceptable.

"Restart of an Association with New Encapsulation Port" Error Cause

```

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
|          Cause Code = 14          |          Cause Length = 8          |
+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
| Current Encapsulation Port | New Encapsulation Port |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+

```


Status

- draft-tuexen-tsvwg-sctp-udp-encaps-cons-00.txt
- Initial version
- Explicitly describing when the ports **MUST NOT** be updated
- Interoperability improvements based on experience with userland stacks could be added

Way Forward

- Alternatives:
 - Just file an Errata
 - Progress this document to an update of RFC 6951
 - Do an RFC 6951bis.