#### A FIB for DTN?

#### **Rick Taylor**

Airbus Defence & Space

### Introduction

- A high level walk-through of a DTN FIB design.
- Asking questions of the community:
  - "Have we considered *X*?"
- Triggering productive discussion around a common set of terms and architectures.
- Is this worthwhile work for the WG?

#### Disclaimer

- I expect you know all this already.
- I have probably missed something important.
- Keith Scott covered a lot of this in 2008! [1]



## Forwarding Information Base

• The minimum information required by a forwarding agent to pass a bundle to the next agent along a route to the bundle endpoint.

### Forwarding?

- Passing bundles received externally or from local processes to the next hop along a route.
- Just one-hop, not end-to-end routing.
- Bundles are passed via convergence layers.
- Filtering happens before forwarding.



#### Information Base?

- The set of information to be stored.
- A conceptual model, not an implementation design.
- Commonly described as a table:

Destination EIDs like X	Do Y
Destination EIDs like R	Do S

## Who uses the FIB?

- The forwarding agent consults the FIB to move bundles.
- Convergence layers update the FIB as neighbours are discovered.
- Routing protocol instances update the FIB as routes are discovered.

#### **Conceptual Architecture**



15/07/16

#### So what goes in the FIB?



## Match expression?

- In IP networks this an Address/Prefix pair:
  - Longest match wins.
  - This keeps the FIB compact.

But:

- DTN has no concept of address aggregation (subnets)!
- DTN2 uses a regular expression (glob):
  - Flexible, if basic.

But:

• Requires a pre-agreed endpoint id syntax!

# Handling complex endpoint ids

- Perhaps separating name resolution from forwarding is the answer?
  - A 'name server' that resolves endpoints into numeric node ids.
    - I don't mean DNS.
  - Transform endpoint id handling into an 'address book' management process/protocol that populates the FIB.
  - Such a service need not be on every forwarding agent.
    - Good for constrained devices

#### Forwarding action parameters

- Definitely need the convergence layer adaptor identifier.
- Do we need to include a 'via' endpoint identifier?

	EIDs	like X	Give	to CLA:	1	
	EIDs	like <i>R</i>	Give	to CLA:	2	
Or:						
EIDS	like X	Give t	CO CLA: 1	:	Send Vi	ia Y
EIDS	like R	Give t	CLA: 2		Send Vi	ia S

#### Via?

The answer is 'Yes' and 'No'!

- Convergence layers perform neighbour discovery, and if the bundle destination endpoint is a neighbour, then 'No'.
- Otherwise some external routing protocol will have learnt a route and populated the FIB with the endpoint id of the next hop along the route, so 'Yes'.
- Is 'Via' a node id, or can it be a generic endpoint id?
  - Recursive lookup?

## No match?

- What happens if a matching entry is not found?
  - Added to the transit queue.
  - When the FIB is updated:
    - Contents of transit queue are rechecked against the FIB.
- Is there such a thing as a 'default route'?

## Other issues

- I suggest that 'blackhole' or 'prohibit' entries have no place in the FIB.
  - The same functionality can be provided by bundle filtering prior to forwarding.
    - That is not to say that routing protocols shouldn't share them.
- 'Multicast' endpoints:
  - I have no idea!
- Breaking ties?
- Source-based forwarding?
  - Builds on a simpler FIB

# Why is this useful?

- It may provide a set of minimal requirements for:
  - Higher-level functions such as routing.
  - Convergence-layer adaptors.
- Defining a FIB may highlight gaps in the current architecture.

## Questions?



[1] http://cwe.ccsds.org/sis/docs/SIS-DTN/Other%20Documents/DTN%20Naming %20and%20Addressing3.docx