Model Based Metrics for Bulk Transport Capacity

draft-ietf-ippm-model-based-metrics-08.txt IPPM WG at IETF 96 Berlin, July 19, 2016

Matt Mathismattmathis@google.comAl Mortonacmorton@att.com

Outline

- Document status
 - Ready to go
- Concepts
 - Generic Model Based Metrics
 - Bulk Transport Capacity (TCP)
 - Avoiding self inflicted congestion
 - Location Independence

Generic Model Based Metrics

- Derive IP tests from Transport Performance Targets
- IP tests evaluate
 - IP capacity, IP loss ratio, etc
 - Using authentic test traffic patterns and delivery criteria
 - Independent of (sub)path under test
 - (Location independence)
- Goal
 - Passing all IP tests =>

Transport Performance Targets will be met

Failing any IP tests =>

Some Targets won't be met under some conditions

Overall Modeling Framework



MBM Location Independence



* Type-P, and other potential traffic classifiers must also be consistent

Bulk Transport Capacity

- Basic load tests:
 - Sufficient IP capacity (including overhead)
 - Small enough (background) loss ratio
 - Suppress self inflicted congestion
 - Test stream throttled to Target Data Rate
- Queuing tests: tolerates bursts at 2 time scales
 - Slowstart and sender interface rate bursts
- Engineering tests: self clocks and congestion control
 - Example failures include:
 - Channel capture effects
 - Bufferbloat
 - Token bucket policers

Key: suppress self inflicted congestion

- TCP congestion control is normally dynamic equilibrium
 - All parameters (rate, RTT, losses etc) affect each other
 - Measurements are not predictive unless conditions match
- Suppress congestion control using precomputed test traffic
 - Delivery evaluation has same threshold as the transport
 - State variables become open loop
 - Breaks all circular dependencies
 - Measurements are predictive for other environments

Location Independence



- With **TCP BTC** MP1->MP2 does not predict MP3->MP4
 - No "A-frame": the dream of early IPPM work
- With **MBM BTC**, MP1->MP2 also predicts MBM BTC for:
 - MP3->MP4, MP3->MP2, and MP1->MP4
 - As long as type-P is authentic (which might be hard)
 - and "rest of path" is good enough
 - Which in turn predict bounds on TCP performance

Please read the draft and comment on the IPPM list

Nits & suggestions: <u>https://github.</u> <u>com/mattmathis/draft-ietf-ippm-mbm</u>

Thank You

Questions?

IPPM WG at IETF 96 Berlin, July 19, 2016 Matt Mathis <mattmathis@google.com> Al Morton <acmorton@att.com>