

SIPBRANDY WG

IETF 96, Berlin
2016-07-21

Gonzalo Camarillo <gonzalo.camarillo@ericsson.com>

Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session

- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG

- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices

- Any IETF working group or portion thereof

- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session

- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB

- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Logistics

Minute taker

Jabber scribe

<xmpp:tram@jabber.ietf.org>

Meeting materials (slides, agendas, etc.)

<https://www.ietf.org/proceedings/96/sipbrandy.html>

Mailing list

<mailto:sipbrandy@ietf.org>

<https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/sipbrandy>

Agenda

18:30 - 18:40 Introduction - Gonzalo Camarillo

18:40 - 19:10 BCP for Securing RTP Media Signaled with SIP - Jon Peterson

<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-peterson-sipbrandy-rtpsec/>

19:10 - 19:30 OSRTP - Alan Johnston

<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-johnston-dispatch-osrtp/>

19:30 Meeting ends

SIPBRANDY Milestones

- Submit E2E SRTP draft for consideration as BCP (Mar 17)
- Submit Opportunistic SRTP draft for consideration as BCP (Nov 17)
- Is the second deliverable really a BCP?
 - OSRTP draft serves two key purposes:
 - Allows offering AVP instead of SAVP while pointing to keying material elsewhere in an offer
 - This should probably be in a Standards Track RFC
 - Documenting existing deployments
 - Some of those the IETF might not consider best practices

So do we need a fix?

- Options:
 1. Keep OSRTP as BCP
 2. Move OSRTP to PS
 - It would still contain non-normative descriptions of deployments
 3. Split OSRTP into two documents
 - a very small PS just to contain the AVP/SAVP behavior
 - a lengthier Informational document describing existing deployments