## Hum: TLS Version No.

aka resolving PR#612

Sean Turner & Joe Salowey @ IETF 97 TLS WG

## Proposal: Hum to Pick TLS Version No.

Lingering PR#612 to rebrand TLS 1.3.

Options we've seen on list or heard over <u>Soju</u>:

This is ONLY about what we call it; we are suggesting NO changes to the bits on the wire and we are NOT going to resubmit as draft-ietf-tls-tls#.

- TLS 1.3 sheesh this is silly leave it alone we're not marketing wizards
- TLS 2.0 come on! we changed the protocol enough that we ought to call it "2.0" vs "2.0" can be confused with SSL 2.0
- TLS 2 srsly!? drop the minor version
- TLS 4 skip 3/3.0 to not confuse people with SSL 3.0

## **Questions: Review then Hum**

If you understand what we're on about, then please hum for one and only one of the following choices (and yes we know we can't enforce the hum restriction):

- 1. Leave it TLS 1.3
- 2. Rebrand TLS 2.0
- 3. Rebrand TLS 2
- 4. Rebrand TLS 4

## **Decisions decisions...**

