# IPv6 DOTS Signal Option draft-francois-dots-ipv6-signal-option-01

Jérôme François, Inria, jerome.francois@inria.fr Abdelkader Lahmadi, Université de Lorraine, abdelkader.lahmadi@loria.fr Marco Davids, SIDN Labs, marco.davids@sidn.nl Giovane Moura, SIDN Labs, giovane.moura@sidn.nl

IETF 98 Chicago

# Key idea

- Objective: signal DDoS attacks from a DOTS client (detection) to DOTS server (mitigation)
- ▶ Regular signalling paths for delivering DOTS signals might be also affected by the DDoS → Adding an auxiliary mechanism for signaling (does not substitute)
- Use IPv6 Hop-by-Hop Option Header [RFC2460]
  - Embed the information into pre-existing packet
  - signaling information is embedded into outgoing IPv6 packets
  - in an opportunistic manner (not all packets, not only those outgoing to the DOTS server... but some well chosen)
  - the DOTS client initiate this process, intermediate capable routers can store the information and embed it into other packets

#### Option processing

- Selection of packets is rule-based to only consider a subset
- ► A sequence of rules where each is defined by
  - ▶ 1st level: a filter on IPv6 header to be matched
  - 2nd level: a ratio of previously matched packets
  - + a timeout
- ▶ When a rule expires (timeout) the next one is applied
- Rules are manually configured
- ▶ Recommendation: firs rules should select more packets (taking benefit of the first instant before loosing connectivity)
- 1: all outgoing IPv6 packets with a 10 second timeout
- 2: all outgoing IPv6 packets with a ratio of 10% and a 1 minute timeout
- 3: all outgoing multicast IPv6 packets with a ratio of 10% and a 1 minute timeout

### Option encoding

TLV-encoded in the IPv6 header

#### DOTS attributes

- from draft-reddy-dots-transport
- + a specific TTL value to avoid embedding the information into new packets indefinitely
- + address and port of the DOTS server to reach (+ flags)
- a mix between TLV and fixed-length fields

Attribute type	value
policy-id	10 1
target-ip	1
target-port	2
target-protocol	3
lifetime	4

#### Deployment considerations 1/2

- ► IPv6 extension headers are often rate-limited or dropped entirely
  - One reason is the overhead of processing
  - Our proposed option is only used under a DDoS attack and performance might be so already degraded
  - Keep limited the use to tne intra-domain use case
- Modification to IP layers implementations
  - capable routers: need to extract store and embed signaling information
  - clients: need to create the specific option header to be embedded then
  - servers and gateways: all DOTS signaling information contained in IPv6 headers has to transmitted to the application layer

# Deployment considerations 2/2

- ▶ Need an interface for modifying/listening IPv6 packets
  - use of Hop-by-Hop option for applications  $\rightarrow$  header violation
  - advanced socket API (RFC3542)
- Header insertion issue (rfc2460bis)
  - considered as harmful
  - potential solution by encapsulating into new packets
  - keep the use limited to routers under the same authority and make transparent packet modifications  $\rightarrow$  fits well the intra-domain use case