

A YANG DATA MODEL FOR PCEP

draft-ietf-pce-pcep-yang-02

<https://github.com/dhruvdhody-huawei/pcep-yang>

Dhruv Dhody

Jonathan Hardwick

Vishnu Pavan Beeram

Jeff Tantsura

Introduction

Yang Data Model

Management of PCEP
speakers

Configurations

State

PCEP as per RFC 5440

Aligned to PCEP MIB

Extensions

Stateful PCE, SR, SVEC, OF,
GCO, P2MP...

Statistics

Moved as a separate model

Augments the base model

Recent Changes

- A new “ietf-pcep-stats” module that augments “ietf-pcep”
- Changes in other models
 - ietf-te
 - ietf-key-chain
- Fixed various issues
 - x-path validation failures
 - Use of when statement v/s must statement
- RPC for trigger re-sync
 - So that operator can have a mechanism to trigger session re-synchronization

Statistics

A separate module that augments ietf-pcep's state!

```
module: ietf-pcep-stats
  augment /p:pcep-state/p:entity/p:peers/p:peer:
    +--ro num-sess-setup-ok?      yang:counter32
    +--ro num-sess-setup-fail?   yang:counter32
    +--ro pcep-stats
      +--ro avg-rsp-time?        uint32
      +--ro lwm-rsp-time?        uint32
      +--ro hwm-rsp-time?        uint32
      +--ro num-pcreq-sent?      yang:counter32
      +--ro num-pcreq-rcvd?     yang:counter32
      .
      .
    +--ro svec {p:svec}?
      | .
      | .
    +--ro stateful {p:stateful}?
      | .
      | .
      | +--ro initiation {p:pce-initiated}?
      | .
      | .
    +--ro path-key {p:path-key}?
      | .
      | .
```

```
augment /p:pcep-state/p:entity/p:peers/p:peer/p:sessions/p:session:
  +--ro pcep-stats
    +--ro avg-rsp-time?          uint32
    +--ro lwm-rsp-time?          uint32
    +--ro hwm-rsp-time?          uint32
    +--ro num-pcreq-sent?        yang:counter32
    +--ro num-pcreq-rcvd?        yang:counter32
    .
    .
  +--ro svec {p:svec}?
    | .
    | .
  +--ro stateful {p:stateful}?
    | .
    | .
    | +--ro initiation {p:pce-initiated}?
    | .
    | .
  +--ro path-key {p:path-key}?
    +--ro num-unknown-path-key? yang:counter32
    .
    .
```

Grouping Changes in other models..

```
+--rw (auth-type-selection)?
|  +--:(auth-key-chain)
|  |  +--rw key-chain?
|  |  |  key-chain:key-chain-ref
|  +--:(auth-key)
|  |  +--rw crypto-algorithm          identityref
|  |  +--rw key-string
|  |  |  +--rw (key-string-style)?
|  |  |  |  +--:(keystring)
|  |  |  |  |  +--rw keystring?          string
|  |  |  |  +--:(hexadecimal)
|  |  |  |  |  {key-chain:hex-key-string}?
|  |  |  |  |  +--rw hexadecimal-string?  yang:hex-string
|  +--:(auth-tls) {tls}?
|  |  +--rw tls
```

```
+--ro path-keys {path-key}?
|  +--ro path-keys* [path-key]
|  |  +--ro path-key          uint16
|  +--ro cps
|  |  +--ro explicit-route-objects* [index]
|  |  |  +--ro explicit-route-usage?  identityref
|  |  |  +--ro index                uint32
|  |  +--ro (type)?
|  |  |  +--:(ip-address)
|  |  |  |  +--ro ip-address-hop
|  |  |  |  |  +--ro address?          inet:ip-address
|  |  |  |  |  +--ro hop-type?       te-hop-type
|  |  |  +--:(as-number)
|  |  |  |  +--ro as-number-hop
|  |  |  |  |  +--ro as-number?       binary
|  |  |  |  |  +--ro hop-type?       te-hop-type
|  |  |  +--:(unnumbered-link)
|  |  |  |  +--ro unnumbered-hop
|  |  |  |  |  +--ro router-id?       inet:ip-address
|  |  |  |  |  +--ro interface-id?   uint32
|  |  |  |  |  +--ro hop-type?       te-hop-type
|  |  |  +--:(label)
|  |  |  |  +--ro label-hop
|  |  |  |  |  +--ro value?
|  |  |  |  |  |  rt-types:generalized-label
|  |  |  +--:(sid)
|  |  |  |  +--ro sid-hop
|  |  |  |  |  +--ro sid?
|  |  |  |  |  |  rt-types:generalized-label
```

Questions..

- Model
 - The pcep model has 'config' & 'state' constrainers separated from the inception.
 - We *prefer* to keep it that way!
 - Are there any motivations to change that?
 - What is the impact when revised-data-store is ready?
- Notifications
 - Draft has some notifications related to PCEP session up/down or overload.
 - We prefer to keep them!
 - Are there motivations to remove even the most basic 'notifications' from the model?

To Do

- Adding TLS support for PCEPS
 - Discussion is on-going with netconf authors of –
 - ietf-tls-client
 - ietf-tls-server
 - Which were updated recently!
 - We would be using the groupings defined in these model.
- Plan to put an update out SOON.

Thank You!
