

Microservices on the Edge: The Infrastructure Impact


Ram (Ramki) Krishnan: Industry Consultant, SupportVectors

Chris Wright: Vice President and Chief Technologist, Office of Technology at Red Hat

Presentation Outline


- **Enterprise Microservices Backgrounder**
 - Enterprise Infrastructure Architecture Impact
- **Microservices on the Edge**
 - Edge Infrastructure Architecture Impact
 - Microservices for Virtual Network Functions – New Potential Models
- **Common Infrastructure Architecture for Microservices**
 - Containers, Resource Modelling, SLA Monitoring and Policy Abstractions
 - Open Source/Standards Efforts Next Steps

Enterprise Microservices - Backgrounder



Classic Application Architecture


Any organization will produce a design whose structure is a copy of the organization's communication structure -- Melvyn Conway, 1967



Key Microservice Architecture Tenants

- Service split based on business need
- Decentralized governance – different processes and data stores
- Module reuse - share common modules such as logging, monitoring
- Loosely coupled - scale independently, new service flexibility
- Standardize the APIs across microservices

Enterprise Microservices: Real-time Transaction Travel-booking Example




Individual services:
Seven tiles in the figure.

Interaction:
Arranged to show which microservices can interact with other microservices.
bookFlights service – receives external customer request.

Independent scale:
The services' different vertical heights represent how they are used in different quantities in relation to one another.

Loosely coupled – flexible to add new service:
Example -- add discount coupon service


Infrastructure Architecture Impact – An Exemplary Deployment Model



Takeaways

- Towards a Converged infrastructure -> Flexible node personality is important
- HW acceleration key for deterministic performance, especially for latency sensitive workloads -> Reconfigurable components are highly desirable

Infrastructure Architecture Impact: Real-time Transaction Travel-booking Example



Takeaways

- No. of hops proportional to number of microservices, bursty nature of data (Storage I/O block operations, HW Protocol (TCP etc.) offload batching, CPU batch processing etc.) -> service assurance challenge for latency sensitive applications
- HW acceleration is key for deterministic performance -> challenge managing heterogeneity
- Dynamic service creation -> challenge managing dynamic scaling in a shared heterogenous infrastructure
- Database decoupling/scale/PACLEC requirements -> challenge in choosing the right database

Up Next


- **Enterprise Microservices Backgrounder**
 - Enterprise Infrastructure Architecture Impact
- **Microservices on the Edge**
 - Edge Infrastructure Architecture Impact ...

Edge Computing – Use Case Summary

Use cases from MEC -- <http://www.etsi.org/technologies-clusters/technologies/multi-access-edge-computing>

- Video analytics
- Location services
- Internet-of-Things (IoT)
 - Examine in detail a low-latency service such as air quality measurement
- Augmented reality
- Optimized local content distribution
- Data caching

Edge Computing IoT Microservices: Real-time Analytics Air Quality Measurement Example



Takeaways

- Microservices architecture key to distributed computing across smart sensors, IoT gateways, Edge DC, Cloud DC
- HW acceleration key to deterministic performance and reducing edge node footprint

Alerting Microservice: Trigger air quality alerts - leverage statistics and machine learning jobs.

Weekly reporting Microservice: Weekly air quality reports – leverage statistics job.

Event reporting Microservice: Process dynamic events from Mobile and Web applications.


Data Reception, Storage & Transformation Job: Receive raw sensor data from IoT device - store in file system. Perform data validation and transform data into (JSON) format.

Contextual Enrichment Job: Add device specific data to transformed JSON format.

Statistics Job: Compute moving average/long-term statistics.

Machine Learning Job: Dynamic learning/refinement of air quality alter threshold.

Infrastructure Architecture Impact: Real-time Analytics IoT Air Quality Measurement Example



Takeaways (similar to enterprise travel booking example)

- No. of hops proportional to number of microservices, bursty nature of data (Storage I/O block operations, CPU batch processing etc.) -> service assurance challenge for latency sensitive applications such as real-time alerting

Up Next

- **Enterprise Microservices Backgrounder**
 - Enterprise Infrastructure Architecture Impact
- **Microservices on the Edge**
 - Edge Infrastructure Architecture Impact
 - Microservices for Virtual Network Functions – New Potential Models ...

Potential Microservices Architecture for NAT VNF

Deployment Model

- Read/Write intensive NAT tables (key-value pair hash table) Memory intensive nodes
- Packet processing - General purpose nodes, - Optional NAT table caching

General Purpose Nodes

HW Acceleration e.g.: Compute /Network
– RDMA (RoCE, InfiniBand etc.), SR-IOV

Memory Intensive Nodes

HW Acceleration e.g.: Compute /Network
– RDMA (RoCE, InfiniBand etc.)

NAT Packet Processing
Microservice



NAT RAM Table Storage
Microservice

Network Fabric

Adapted from: <http://conferences.sigcomm.org/sigcomm/2015/pdf/papers/hotmiddlebox/p49.pdf>

Takeaways

- Benefits: Packet processing decoupled from database management
- Challenges: Tables are in RAM with higher Capex than classic solution, Additional network hop per packet

Potential Microservices Architecture for Stateless Firewall VNF

Deployment Model

- Read intensive Firewall tables (key-value pair hash tables for different + optionally TCAM) - Storage intensive nodes
- Packet processing - General purpose nodes, Firewall table caching, counter batch update
- PACELC theorem in action – Firewall table caching – consistency vs latency tradeoff

General Purpose Nodes

HW Acceleration e.g.: Compute /Network
– RDMA (RoCE, InfiniBand etc.), SR-IOV

Storage Intensive Nodes

HW Acceleration e.g.: Compute /Network
– RDMA (RoCE, InfiniBand etc.), Lookup - TCAM

Firewall Packet Processing
Microservice



Firewall Table Storage (SSD
etc.) Microservice

Network Fabric

Takeaways

- Benefits: Packet processing decoupled from database management, Lower Capex than classic solution
- Challenges: Additional network hop per packet batch

Outline

- **Enterprise Microservices Backgrounder**
 - Enterprise Infrastructure Architecture Impact
- **Microservices on the Edge**
 - Edge Infrastructure Architecture Impact
 - Microservices for Virtual Network Functions – New Potential Models
- **Common Infrastructure Architecture for Microservices**
 - Containers ...

Containers – FCAPS framework (1)

Key Microservice Tenant - App and Database separation

- Containers can be created/destroyed on the fly and ideal for apps
- Stateless apps are desirable for containers – does not preclude stateful applications (e.g. classic VNFs)

“F” in FCAPS – Fault Management

- PACELC theorem availability vs consistency tradeoff

“C” in FCAPS – Configuration Management

- Open source implementations for microservice, e.g. Kubernetes/Mesos service implementation
- Open source HW acceleration integration – work in progress

“A” in FCAPS – Accounting Management for billed infrastructure

- Open source implementations for microservice, e.g. Kubernetes Datadog integration
- Open source HW acceleration integration – work in progress

Containers – FCAPS framework (2)

“P” in FCAPS – Performance Management

- PACELC theorem latency vs consistency tradeoff – Recall firewall VNF example
- SW isolation (memory, CPU, storage etc.) in a virtualized infrastructure – supported by Linux Kernel
- HW isolation/monitoring (cache etc.) – Intel RDT [Ref. 1] cache partitioning/monitoring etc.

- Performance Monitoring with HW acceleration (e.g. SR-IOV, RDMA) – work in progress

“S” in FCAPS – Security Management

- SW security – Linux Namespaces, SELinux, AppArmor etc.
- HW security - *difficult to match VMs*
 - Containers (or processes) in VMs - two hardware indirection tables for virtual address translation
 - Native Containers on Host OS - single hardware indirection table for virtual address translation
 - Intel Clear Containers [Ref. 2] – HW security similar to VMs but other challenges

- HW security requirements – dictated by deployment model
 - SaaS – Typical deployment model is native containers on Host OS
 - PaaS/IaaS – Typical deployment model is Containers (or processes) in VMs

Ref. 1: <http://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/architecture-and-technology/resource-director-technology.html>

Ref. 2: <https://clearlinux.org/features/intel%C2%AE-clear-containers>

Containers and NFV (3)

Practical Deployment

- NFV deployments are starting out as SaaS
- Occasionally need to run third party apps
- Viable for a predominantly containerized deployment; third party apps can be run as VMs

Next Steps

- Call for participation in NFVRG
 - Expand on current draft -- <https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-natarajan-nfvrg-containers-for-nfv-03.txt>
 - Detailed security best practices leveraging Selinux, AppArmour etc.

Up Next

- **Enterprise Microservices Backgrounder**
 - Enterprise Infrastructure Architecture Impact
- **Microservices on the Edge**
 - Edge Infrastructure Architecture Impact
 - Microservices for Virtual Network Functions – New Potential Models
- **Common Infrastructure Architecture for Microservices**
 - Containers
 - HW Acceleration Resource Modelling and SLA monitoring ...

HW Acceleration Resource Modelling (1)

Some of the important Modelling Aspects of HW Accelerators with constrained resources

HW capabilities: Features supported by the accelerator

- E.g. Crypto Acceleration (AES-NI, Intel QuickAssist etc.)
 - Different crypto algorithms (AES-CBC etc.), Protocols (IPSEC, TLS etc.)

HW capacity: Operations per second

- E.g. Crypto Acceleration (Intel QuickAssist etc.) bandwidth

HW Topology: How the accelerators are interconnected from the CPU perspective

- E.g. Multi-GPU <-> CPU PCI-e interconnect topology

SW capabilities: OS Kernel driver and user space library integration

- E.g. Linux/Windows OS support, Libcrypto/Libssl library support

HW Acceleration Resource Modelling (2)

Small buffer switch can be modelled as a HW Accelerator – important for low-latency SLA monitoring/enforcement for RDMA based-protocols such as RoCE

- As an example, OCP switch designs [Ref. 1] use Broadcom Trident (Alpha Networks SNX-60x0-486F etc.) and Broadcom Tomahawk (Facebook Backpack, Edgecore Networks AS7300-54X etc.)
- Broadcom Trident family and Tomahawk family have different internal buffering architectures, i.e. different HW topologies
 - Trident has a single shared buffer pool for all ports
 - Tomahawk has multiple buffer pools, one per port group
- Dynamic switch buffer pool utilization with topology knowledge is also a key metric for SLA monitoring besides egress queue depth etc.

HW Acceleration Resource Modelling (3)

HW Acceleration Resource Modelling is a key area where IETF can bring value to the industry through RGs and WGs

- Can leverage the industry efforts on related topics
 - NFVRG Policy-based Resource Management -- <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-irtf-nfvrg-policy-based-resource-management> and several other drafts
 - OpenStack Enhance Platform Awareness -- https://01.org/sites/default/files/page/openstack-epa_wp_fin.pdf
 - OpenStack Resource Providers -- <https://specs.openstack.org/openstack/nova-specs/specs/newton/implemented/resource-providers-allocations.html>
 - OpenStack Policy and Platform-awareness – <https://www.openstack.org/videos/video/dell-developing-a-policy-driven-platform-aware-and-devops-friendly-nova-scheduler>; <https://review.openstack.org/#/c/341341/7/specs/newton/approved/standardize-network-capabilities.rst>, unified

Low-latency network SLA monitoring/enforcement is another key area for additional IETF contributions

- Can leverage several IETF drafts in the area
 - https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-krishnan-opsawg-in-band-pro-sla/?include_text=1
 - <https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-brockners-inband-oam-requirements-03>
 - More ...

Up Next

- **Enterprise Microservices Backgrounder**
 - Enterprise Infrastructure Architecture Impact
- **Microservices on the Edge**
 - Edge Infrastructure Architecture Impact
 - Microservices for Virtual Network Functions – New Potential Models
- **Common Infrastructure Architecture for Microservices**
 - Containers, HW Acceleration Resource Modelling and SLA monitoring
 - Policy Abstractions ...

Policy Abstractions

The right infrastructure Policy Abstractions are key to using the HW acceleration resource modelling and delivering low-latency SLAs

- The industry favored implementation model in OpenStack, Kubernetes etc.
 - JSON/YAML for policy language
 - Policies managed by the infrastructure orchestrator admin (OpenStack, Kubernetes etc. admin)
- This is a key area where IETF can bring value to the industry through RGs and WGs
 - Can leverage the industry efforts on related topics
 - NFVRG Policy-based Resource Management -- <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-irtf-nfvrg-policy-based-resource-management> and several other drafts
 - OpenStack Policy and Platform-awareness — <https://www.openstack.org/videos/video/dell-developing-a-policy-driven-platform-aware-and-devops-friendly-nova-scheduler>; <https://review.openstack.org/#/c/341341/7/specs/newton/approved/standardize-network-capabilities.rst,unified>

Policy Abstractions – Example OpenStack JSON Policy

For "low-latency" workloads:

- At least 8GB of free ram
- At least 8 free vCPUs
- NUMA awareness
- X86 AES-NI for crypto

```
[ 'or' , [ 'and' , [ '=' , '$user.type' , 'low-latency' ] ,  
  [ '>' , '$host.free_ram_mb' , 8*1024 ] ,  
  [ '>' , '$host.vcpus_total' - '$host.vcpus_used' , 8 ] ,  
  [ '=' , '$host.crypto.x86-aes-ni' , 'True' ] ,  
  [ 'not' , [ '=' , '$host.numa_topology' , 'None' ] ] ] ] ]
```


Up Next

- **Enterprise Microservices Backgrounder**
 - Enterprise Infrastructure Architecture Impact
- **Microservices on the Edge**
 - Edge Infrastructure Architecture Impact
 - Microservices for Virtual Network Functions – New Potential Models
- **Common Infrastructure Architecture for Microservices**
 - Containers, Resource Modelling, SLA Monitoring and Policy Abstractions
 - Open Source/Standards Efforts Next Steps ...

..... Call for Action

- Containers – Contribution to NFVRG and beyond
 - Expand on current draft (<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-natarajan-nfvrg-containers-for-nfv-03.txt>) based on discussion points
 - Detailed security best practices leveraging Selinux, AppArmour etc.
- HW Acceleration Resource Modelling/Policy Abstractions - IETF can bring value to the industry through RGs and WGs - Leverage the industry efforts on related topics
 - NFVRG Policy-based Resource Management -- <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-irtf-nfvrg-policy-based-resource-management> and several other drafts
 - OpenStack Enhance Platform Awareness -- https://01.org/sites/default/files/page/openstack-epa_wp_fin.pdf
 - OpenStack Resource Providers -- <https://specs.openstack.org/openstack/nova-specs/specs/newton/implemented/resource-providers-allocations.html>
 - OpenStack Policy and Platform-awareness – <https://www.openstack.org/videos/video/dell-developing-a-policy-driven-platform-aware-and-devops-friendly-nova-scheduler>; <https://review.openstack.org/#/c/341341/7/specs/newton/approved/standardize-network-capabilities.rst>, unified
- Low-latency network SLA monitoring/enforcement is another key area for additional contributions - Leverage several IETF drafts in the area
 - https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-krishnan-opsawg-in-band-pro-sla/?include_text=1
 - <https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-brockners-inband-oam-requirements-03>
 - More ...