

Charter Update (proposed)

First issue:

- IPv6-only Operation

- <https://blog.apnic.net/2017/01/19/ipv6-only-at-microsoft/>

- [https](https://arstechnica.com/apple/2015/06/apple-to-ios-devs-ipv6-only-cell-service-is-coming-soon-get-your-apps-ready/)

- [://arstechnica.com/apple/2015/06/apple-to-ios-devs-ipv6-only-cell-service-is-coming-soon-get-your-apps-ready](https://arstechnica.com/apple/2015/06/apple-to-ios-devs-ipv6-only-cell-service-is-coming-soon-get-your-apps-ready/)
[/](#)

- [http](http://www.internetsociety.org/deploy360/resources/case-study-facebook-moving-to-an-ipv6-only-internal-network/)

- [://www.internetsociety.org/deploy360/resources/case-study-facebook-moving-to-an-ipv6-only-internal-network](http://www.internetsociety.org/deploy360/resources/case-study-facebook-moving-to-an-ipv6-only-internal-network/)
[/](#)

- [https](https://engineering.linkedin.com/blog/2016/11/ipv6-inside-linkedin-part-iii--the-elephant-in-the-room)

- [://engineering.linkedin.com/blog/2016/11/ipv6-inside-linkedin-part-iii--the-elephant-in-the-room](https://engineering.linkedin.com/blog/2016/11/ipv6-inside-linkedin-part-iii--the-elephant-in-the-room)

Second issue: impact of markets

- *Not reflected in proposed charter...*
- IP used to be about hosts and networks (service providers)
- IP in 2017 is additionally about content providers, data center operation, residential, enterprise, and IoT markets
 - *Should we say that out loud?*
 - What are the differential operational requirements?
 - Is there different advice we should be giving?