

SIPREC
draft-ietf-siprec-req-05
Requirements for
Media Recording using SIP

IETF 79.1 Interim Meeting
Ken Rehor, Leon Portman
on behalf of the team
15 Dec 2010

Draft authors: K. Rehor, A. Hutton, L. Portman, R. Jain, H. Lum

Agenda

- draft-ietf-siprec-req-05
 - Items fixed since last meeting (“79”) and discussion on mailing list
- Today: Open Issues and Public Comments
 - Continued and new items
- Next Steps
 - Items to be resolved
 - Publication schedule

Open Items

Ticket	Summary	Disposition
59	Clarification of scope/privacy	Recommend adoption of suggested changes (Cooper & Elwell)
60	Wording concerning policy on storage and use of a recording	Recommend adoption of suggested changes (Cooper)

Issue #59: Clarification of scope/privacy

1. In section 2, paragraph beginning "Respecting the privacy rights and wishes of users ...", the last sentence says: "In addition, lawful intercept is outside the

scope of this document."

I propose replacing this sentence with:

"Use cases where users participating in a call are not informed that the call is or might be recorded are outside the scope of this document. In particular, lawful intercept is outside the scope of this document."

<http://trac.tools.ietf.org/wg/siprec/trac/ticket/59>

Issue #59: Clarification of scope/privacy

2. The whole of this same paragraph (beginning "Respecting the privacy rights and wishes of users..."), including the modification proposed above, should be repeated at the start of section 6 (Privacy Considerations).

Originally this paragraph and the paragraphs that currently appear in section 6 were proposed for inclusion in section 6. Whilst it is good to have the first paragraph up front in section 2, if it is not repeated in section 6 the rest of section 6 loses its context.

<http://trac.tools.ietf.org/wg/siprec/trac/ticket/59>

Clarification of scope/privacy

- Is there a difference between “users” and “participants”?
 - → no
- Declare scoping in intro of req and arch docs
- In addition to REQ-024, add requirement to indicate the purpose of the recording
 - Suggestion: "The mechanism MUST provide a means of indicating to the participants involved in a CS the purpose for which their session is being recorded."
- User/participant control of recording

Alissa Cooper

<http://trac.tools.ietf.org/wg/siprec/trac/ticket/59>

<http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/siprec/current/msg01044.html>

Issue #60: Clarification of scope/privacy

Alissa Cooper proposed the following rewording for the last paragraph of section 6:

"This document does not specify any requirements for a user engaged in a CS to be able to dictate policy for what happens to a recording, or for such information to be conveyed from an SRC to an SRS. It is assumed that the SRS has access to policy applicable to its environment and can ensure that recordings are stored and used in accordance with that policy."

<http://trac.tools.ietf.org/wg/siprec/trac/ticket/60>

<http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/siprec/current/msg01196.html>

Next Steps

- Resolve Open Issues and Public Comments
- Publish draft-06 (as WGLC?)

Discussion