

SIPREC

Recording Metadata Model for SRS (draft-ietf-siprec-metadata-01)

June 23, 2011 Virtual Interim meeting

Ram Mohan R

On behalf of the team

Team: Paul Kyzivat, Ram Mohan R, R Parthasarathi


Agenda

- Changes in draft-ietf-siprec-metadata from the previous version
- Discuss Open items in Metadata Model
- Next Steps


Changes from Previous version

- The new version of draft has following changes (Most of which were agreed in last interim meeting and rest over mailer) :
 - Associate CS block directly with RS since CS-Group is optional
 - RS shall be retained in model but no XML representation is needed[RS dialog will serve the purpose]. Hence attributes of RS shall be removed
 - Comments from Charles/Henry/Muthu (on instance diagrams and minor nits)

Metadata Model




Metadata Model: Communication Session Group



Open Items:

- Any objection for the addition of Optional Stop/Start time attribute

Metadata Model: Media Stream



Closed Items:

- Codec params (CS SDP) removed


Open Items:

- Does MS has a life outside CS? [e.g. MMOH cases and transfer by 3PCC with multiple CS and single MS]
 - There is a general inclination to keep MS local to CS. However it may have some issues described in <http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/siprec/current/msg02091.html>
 - How do we want to go forward on this ?

Metadata Model: Media Stream

- What does an MS object represent?
 - There was a general agreement on option 2 of <http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/siprec/current/msg02044.html>. Towards this we agreed there is a need to have an attribute (like “content”) in MS block to tie together the two MS (of same content type) that represent the two sides of a single conversation
 - What should content attribute have as value ? And how SRC shall derive the same ?
 - Is RFC 4796 sufficient (as Charles says)? or do we need content element in recording metadata xml schema ?

Metadata Model: Participant



Open Items:

- Participant lifetime is within the scope of CS or CSG/RS.
- Is Optional Stop/Start time attribute needed?

General Open items in model draft

- Use of RFC 2119 language in the metadata model
 - Do we want to leave the current language (use of RFC 2119) as it is for now and re-visit later when we merge format in to model ? OR
 - Do we want to remove all the normative statements right now (in the next revision) and have them (around the format portions) when we merge format and model ?

Next steps

- Close the remaining open items [we have only few minor ones]
- Is it the right time to merge format doc with model document ?