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1. Our Introduction

- A little history about the NTIA announcement
- The ICG
- Document Organization
- How to read the darn thing
  - (anyone for a diversion about RFC formats?)
  - (just kidding, Leslie and Marc)
RFP Questions and Responses

- 0. Proposal Type:
  - We are addressing protocol parameters

- I. Description of the service or activity
  - What the registry is and why the IETF needs it.

- A Description of the customers of the service
  - Lots of information about the IETF, the IESG, and our processes

- What registries are involved in providing the activity
  - The protocol parameter registries are the product of IETF work. Administration of those registries is the service provided.
  - There is an issue open on this text, and there is a grammar error.
RFP Response Continued

- What overlap exists?
  - Special use registries for names
  - The IETF specifies the DNS protocol that ICANN, the RIRs, and root servers all use.
  - We specify minimum technical requirements for name servers
  - Routing architecture is specified at the IETF. As that changes so may it require changes RIR allocation policies
  - Special number assignments
  - As standards change, other polices may need to adjust (e.g., 4 byte ASNs)
II. Pre-transition Arrangements

- A. Policy sources
  - How policy is developed; a discussion of RFCs 6220 and 5226, the consensus process
  - How conflict resolution is handled
  - References to same

- B. Oversight and Accountability
  - The IAB’s role and charter
  - How the leadership is selected (NOMCOM)
  - A description of the MoU, the IAD, IAOC, and supplemental agreements
  - Ability of both sides to terminate agreement
  - Jurisdiction
III. Proposed Changes

- No changes are required.
- All functions will continue exactly as they have.
- Discussion of the principles we developed in the Spring
- There are several issues to address with this text.
IV. Transition issues

- No operational changes, no transition issues.
V. NTIA Requirements

- How do we support the multistakeholder framework?
  - All are welcome to participate, and we work and play well with others.

- Maintain security and stability of Internet DNS
  - DNS relies on protocol parameters registry and they have been and continue to be well run.

- Meet the needs and expectations of global customers
  - We already have worldwide use of the registries, and nothing is changing.

- Maintain openness of the Internet
  - We continue our open processes, just as before.
VI. Community Process

- A description of... This->
Adopt?
Open Issues
Inclusion of full mandate (#1)
Jurisdiction (#3)

- Propose no changes. No agreement on new text.
Dispute Resolution (#4)

- No change. No consensus.
- IAOC is always free to employ additional dispute resolution with ICANN if both parties so choose.
What Changes are Needed (#6, 9, 22)

- Propose the following:
  - Change "No changes are required" to "Very few changes are required".

- And...
  - Add text about the need for an IPR release from ICANN, NTIA, IETF, and the IAB. Public domain.
  - Add text about making clear that in the event of a transition to a new operator, ICANN will point to IETF authoritative copy of the parameters.
Are principles copied verbatim from London? (#8)

- Open (need to check)
Delegation/Assignment of Registries (#10)

- This is beyond the scope of what we are asked. No change.
Who is the customer? (#11)

- Term “customer” is vague in context. Proposing a more specific answer:
  
  ICANN maintains the protocol parameters registry for the IETF in accordance with all relevant IETF policies.
Clarification of Which service (#16)

- Propose Add: This includes technical reservations in the IP address registry and the domain name registry as noted above.
A bunch of Editorials

- Numbering was in error.
- Extraneous </t>
- Etc.