



12 February 2016 Webex

IPv6 over the TISCH  
mode of IEEE 802.15.4e

Chairs:

**Pascal Thubert**

**Thomas Watteyne**

Etherpad for minutes:

<http://etherpad.tools.ietf.org:9000/p/6tisch?useMonospaceFont=true>

# Note Well

This summary is only meant to point you in the right direction, and doesn't have all the nuances. The IETF's IPR Policy is set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

The brief summary:

- By participating with the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes.
- If you are aware that a contribution of yours (something you write, say, or discuss in any IETF context) is covered by patents or patent applications, you need to disclose that fact.
- You understand that meetings might be recorded, broadcast, and publicly archived.

For further information, talk to a chair, ask an Area Director, or review the following:

- BCP 9 (on the Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (on the Working Group processes)
- BCP 78 (on the IETF Trust)
- BCP 79 (on Intellectual Property Rights in the IETF)

# Reminder:

Minutes are taken \*

This meeting is recorded \*\*

Presence is logged \*\*\*

\* Scribe; please contribute online to the minutes at

<http://etherpad.tools.ietf.org:9000/p/6tisch?useMonospaceFont=true>

\*\* Recordings and Minutes are public and may be subject to discovery in the event of litigation.

\*\*\* From the Webex login

# Agenda

- Administrivia [3min]
  - Agenda bashing
  - Approval minutes from last meeting
  - Misc including recharter
- ETSI 6TiSCH #2 plugtest - report [20min]
- draft-munoz-6tisch-examples-00 [10min]
- 6LoRH discussion and next Steps [15min]
- Status suggested 6P enhancements and next steps [10min]
- AOB [1min]

# Administrivia

# Admin is trivia

- Approval Agenda
- Approval minutes

# ETSI 6TiSCH #2 plugtest - report

Maria Rita Palattella

# draft-munoz-6tisch-examples- 00

Jonathan Munoz



# Context

- ETSI 2nd 6tisch plugtest
- Implements the following:
  - <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-6tisch-minimal/>
  - <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-6lo-routing-dispatch/>
  - <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-6lo-paging-dispatch/>
  - <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-wang-6tisch-6top-sublayer/>
- Full examples of (parsed) packets were needed

# Status

- draft-munoz-6tisch-examples-00 to be published in the next days
- Based on 6TiSCH Wireshark dissector at <https://github.com/openwsn-berkeley/dissectors>
- Authors:
  - Jonathan Muñoz, Gridbee Communications - Inria
  - Emmanuel Riou, Gridbee Communications
  - Guillaume Gaillard, Orange Labs
  - Dominique Barthel, Orange Labs

# Table of Contents

1. Tools
  2. Network Topology
  3. Packet Examples
    - 3.1. Known Errors in These examples
    - 3.2. Enhanced Beacon
    - 3.3. RPL DIO
    - 3.4. RPL DAO
      - 3.4.1. RPL DAO from 2
      - 3.4.2. RPL DAO from 3
    - 3.5. ACK
    - 3.6. ICMPv6 echo
      - 3.6.1. ping 2
      - 3.6.2. ping 3
    - 3.7. 6Top Commands and Responses
  4. IANA Considerations
  5. Security Considerations
  6. Acknowledgments
  7. References
    - 7.1. Normative References
    - 7.2. External Informative References
- Authors' Addresses

# Examples

3nodes\_example.pcapng [Wireshark 2.0.0 (SVN Rev Unknown From unknown)] (as superuser)

File Edit View Go Capture Analyze Statistics Telephony Tools Internals Help

Filter: Expression... Clear Apply Save

Time	Node	Event	Info
15:20:53.545919526		Data	Dst: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:02, Src: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:03
15:20:53.550155831		Ack	Dst: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:02, Src: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:01
15:20:53.558279124		ACK	MID:8295, 2.04 Changed, TKN:db
15:20:53.617729612		Data	Dst: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:02, Src: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:03
15:20:53.624443437		Ack	Dst: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:03, Src: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:02
15:20:53.686517905		Data	Dst: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:03, Src: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:02
15:20:53.693030662		Ack	Dst: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:02, Src: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:03
15:20:54.033608059		Beacon	Dst: Broadcast, Src: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:01
15:20:55.253003803		Beacon	Dst: Broadcast, Src: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:02
15:20:55.684341899		RPL Control	(DODAG Information Object)
15:20:55.687474426		RPL Control	(DODAG Information Object)

▶ Frame 65: 114 bytes on wire (912 bits), 114 bytes captured (912 bits) on interface 0  
Raw packet data  
▶ Internet Protocol Version 6, Src: bbbb::1, Dst: bbbb::1  
▶ User Datagram Protocol, Src Port: 0 (0), Dst Port: 17754 (17754)  
▶ ZigBee Encapsulation Protocol, Channel: 20, Length: 34  
▼ IEEE 802.15.4 Data, Dst: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:03, Src: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:02  
▶ Frame Control Field: 0xee21, Frame Type: Data, Acknowledge Request, Information Elements  
Sequence Number: 19  
Destination PAN: 0xcafe  
Destination: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:03 (14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:03)  
Extended Source: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:02 (14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:02)  
▶ Header Information Elements: Header Termination 1 IE (0x3f00)  
▼ Payload Information Elements: 6top Group ID (0x9007)  
Information Element Length: 7  
.001 0... .. = Information Element ID: 6top Group ID (0x0002)  
1... .. = Payload IE. This bit must be set to 1: True  
▼ 6TOP Message: Success Response  
6P Sub IE ID: 0x00  
6P Version: 0x01  
6P Code: 0x06  
6P SF ID: 0x00  
Slot Offset: 0x0006  
Channel Offset: 0x0000  
FCS: 0x4fd7 (Correct)

0000 60 00 00 00 00 4a 11 08 bb bb 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....J.....  
0010 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 01 bb bb 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....  
0020 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 01 00 00 45 5a 00 4a c9 98 .....EZ.J..  
0030 45 58 02 01 14 00 01 01 ff 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 EX.....  
0040 01 02 02 02 02 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 22 ....."  
0050 21 ee 13 fe ca 03 00 00 00 cc 92 15 14 02 00 00 !.....  
0060 00 cc 92 15 14 00 3f 07 90 00 61 00 06 00 00 00 .....?.a.....  
0070 d7 4f .0

▶ Frame 92: 124 bytes on wire (992 bits)  
Raw packet data  
▶ Internet Protocol Version 6, Src: bbbb  
▶ User Datagram Protocol, Src Port: 0 (0)  
▶ ZigBee Encapsulation Protocol, Channel  
▼ IEEE 802.15.4 Data, Dst: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:01, Src: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:02  
▶ Frame Control Field: 0xee21, Frame Type: Data, Acknowledge Request, Information Elements  
Sequence Number: 26  
Destination PAN: 0xcafe  
Destination: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:01 (14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:01)  
Extended Source: 14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:02 (14:15:92:cc:00:00:00:02)  
▶ Header Information Elements: Header Termination 1 IE (0x3f00)  
▼ Payload Information Elements: 6top Group ID (0x9011)  
Information Element Length: 17  
.001 0... .. = Information Element ID: 6top Group ID (0x0002)  
1... .. = Payload IE. This bit must be set to 1: True  
▼ 6TOP Message: Add Command  
6P Sub IE ID: 0x00  
6P Version: 0x01  
6P Code: 0x01  
6P SF ID: 0x00  
Number of desired cells: 0x01  
Container: 0x01  
Slot Offset: 0x0008  
Channel Offset: 0x0000  
Slot Offset: 0x0005  
Channel Offset: 0x0000  
Slot Offset: 0x0007  
Channel Offset: 0x0000  
FCS: 0x5aa5 (Correct)

# 6LoRH discussion and next Steps

Pascal Thubert

# News

- Draft split complete
  - draft-ietf-6lo-paging-dispatch-01
  - draft-ietf-6lo-routing-dispatch-03
- Validation at ETSI plugtest
- New draft
  - draft-thubert-6lo-inner-compression
  - Updates RFC 6282
  - RFC 6282 stateless => LL prefix FE80::
  - Proposal to get prefix from outer header
  - Also from 6LoRH even if no IP in IP

# Issues

- Better compression for various length
  - Current: only powers of 2

# Issues

- Better compression for various length
  - Current: only powers of 2
- RPI-6LoRH
  - Generic Name in RFC6550
  - Expands into RPL opt in HbH
  - Should it be named more specifically ?
- RH3-6LoRH
  - Specific Name inherited from RFC6554
  - But called SRH in that spec, not specific
  - Compressed format valid for other RH types
  - Should it be named less specifically ?



# e.g. 6LoRH – RPI only, ICMP

```
+-- ... +- ... -++++- ... -++++- ... -++++-++++-...
|11110001| RPI-6LoRH | NH = 0 | NH = 58 | ICMP message
|Page 1 | type 5 | 6LOWPAN-IPHC | (ICMP) | (no compression)
+- ... +- ... -++++- ... -++++- ... -++++-++++-...
          <- RFC 6282 ->
          No RPL artifact
```

<=>



**With inner-compression:**

**LOWPAN\_IPHC stateless based on root prefix for source and destination**

# e.g. Fragmented 6LoRH – IP-in-IP + RPI

```

+- ... +- ... -++ ... -++ ... +- ... ++++++...
|Frag type|Frag hdr |11110001| RPI | IP-in-IP | RFC 6282 Dispatch
|RFC 4944 |RFC 4944 | Page 1 | 6LoRH | 6LoRH | + LOWPAN_IPHC
+- ... +- ... -++ ... -++ ... +- ... ++++++...
                                     <- RFC 6282 ->

```

```

+- ... +- ... -++ ... -++ ... +- ... ++++++...
|Frag type|Frag hdr |
|RFC 4944 |RFC 4944 | Payload (cont)
+- ... +- ... -++ ... -++ ... +- ... ++++++...

```

```

+- ... +- ... -++ ... -++ ... +- ... ++++++...
|Frag type|Frag hdr |
|RFC 4944 |RFC 4944 | Payload (cont)
+- ... +- ... -++ ... -++ ... +- ... ++++++...

```



**With inner-compression:**

**LOWPAN\_IPHC stateless based on outer packet source and destination**

# Proposed order

I think that the original proposal `MAC RH3-6LoRH* RPI-6LoRH IP-in-IP-LoRH IPHC blah` Works better

Reason 1: We modify the RH3-6LoRH on the way, popping the first address as we go. It is easier to do if it is the first header of the compressed packet so we always play with the very beginning of the packet

Reason 2: So that IP header always TERMINATES the 6LoRH encapsulation,

When there is no IP in IP , this is already true for instance `MAC RPI-6LoRH IPHC`

One needs to differentiate a case that in UNCOMPRESSED form is

`IP-in-IP RPI RH3 IP blah` vs. `IP-in-IP IP RPI RH3 blah`

With a format like `MAC IP-in-IP-LoRH RH3-6LoRH* RPI-6LoRH IPHC blah` You cannot tell :(

With this format we have a clear separation for IP in IP in IP all the way

MAC	RH3-6LoRH* RPI-6LoRH IP-in-IP-LoRH	RH3-6LoRH* RPI-6LoRH IP-in-IP-LoRH	RPI-6LoRH IPHC	data
-----	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------	------

The separation of which header is in which encaps is clearly delineation with the IP header that terminates the encapsulated 6LoRH-headers.

# UDP packet forwarded by the root

```
+--+--+--+--+--+ ... +--+--+ ... -+--+-- ... -+--+ ... -+--+--+--+--+ ... -+--+--+...
|11110001 |RH3-6LoRH | RPI-6LoRH | IP-in-IP | NH=1 |11110CPP| Compressed | UDP
|Page 1   |Type1 S=2 |           | 6LoRH   | IPHC  | UDP    | UDP header | Payload
+--+--+--+--+--+ ... +--+--+ ... -+--+-- ... -+--+ ... -+--+--+--+--+ ... -+--+--+...
                <-8bytes->                <-          RFC 6282          ->
                                         No RPL artifact
```

One may note that the RPI is provided. This is because the address of the root that is the source of the IP-in-IP header is elided and inferred from the InstanceID in the RPI. Once found from a local context, that address is used as Compression Reference to expand addresses in the RH3-6LoRH.

<=>



**With inner-compression:**

**LOWPAN\_IPHC stateless based on outer packet source from header source (itself from root)**

**Last Hop (Dest) from last RH3-6LoRH entry**

AOB ?

Thank you!