Special-Use Domain 'home.arpa.'

Abstract

This document specifies the behavior that is expected from the Domain Name System with regard to DNS queries for names ending with '.home.arpa.' and designates this domain as a special-use domain name. 'home.arpa.' is designated for non-unique use in residential home networks. The Home Networking Control Protocol (HNCP) is updated to use the 'home.arpa.' domain instead of '.home'.
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1. Introduction

Users and devices within a home network (hereafter referred to as "homenet") require devices and services to be identified by names that are unique within the boundaries of the homenet [RFC7368]. The naming mechanism needs to function without configuration from the user. While it may be possible for a name to be delegated by an ISP, homenets must also function in the absence of such a delegation. This document reserves the name 'home.arpa.' to serve as the default name for this purpose, with a scope limited to each individual homenet.

This document corrects an error in [RFC7788] by replacing '.home' with 'home.arpa.' as the default domain name for homenets. '.home' was selected as the most user-friendly option; however, there are existing uses of '.home' that may be in conflict with this use. Evidence indicates that '.home' queries frequently leak out and reach the root name servers [ICANN1] [ICANN2].

In addition, for compatibility with DNSSEC (see Section 6), it's necessary that an insecure delegation (see Section 4.3 of [RFC4035]) be present for the name. There is an existing process for allocating names under '.arpa.' [RFC3172]. No such process is available for requesting a similar delegation in the root at the request of the IETF, which does not administer that zone. As a result, all unregistered uses of '.home' (that is, all current uses at the time of this document's publication), particularly as specified in [RFC7788], are deprecated.

This document registers the domain 'home.arpa.' as a special-use domain name [RFC6761] and specifies the behavior that is expected from the Domain Name System with regard to DNS queries for names whose rightmost non-terminal labels are 'home.arpa.' Queries for names ending with '.home.arpa.' are of local significance within the scope of a homenet, meaning that identical queries will result in different results from one homenet to another. In other words, a name ending in '.home.arpa.' is not globally unique.

Although this document makes specific reference to [RFC7788], it is not intended that the use of 'home.arpa.' be restricted solely to networks where HNCP is deployed. Rather, 'home.arpa.' is intended to be the correct domain for uses like the one described for '.home' in [RFC7788]: local name service in residential homenets.
2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. General Guidance

The domain name 'home.arpa.' is to be used for naming within residential homenets. Names ending with '.home.arpa.' reference a zone that is served locally, the contents of which are unique only to a particular homenet and are not globally unique. Such names refer to nodes and/or services that are located within a homenet (e.g., a printer or a toaster).

DNS queries for names ending with '.home.arpa.' are resolved using local resolvers on the homenet. Such queries MUST NOT be recursively forwarded to servers outside the logical boundaries of the homenet.

Some service discovery user interfaces that are expected to be used on homenets conceal information such as domain names from end users. However, in some cases, it is still expected that users will need to see, remember, and even type names ending with '.home.arpa.'. The Homenet Working Group hopes that this name will in some way indicate to as many readers as possible that such domain names are referring to devices in the home, but we recognize that it is an imperfect solution.

4. Domain Name Reservation Considerations

This section specifies considerations for systems involved in domain name resolution when resolving queries for names ending with '.home.arpa.'. Each item in this section addresses some aspect of the DNS or the process of resolving domain names that would be affected by this special-use allocation. Detailed explanations of these items can be found in Section 5 of [RFC6761]. Although the term 'homenet' in [RFC7788] refers to home networks that implement a particular set of features, in this document the term is used to mean any home network, regardless of the set of features it implements.

1. Users can use names ending with '.home.arpa.' just as they would use any other domain name. The 'home.arpa.' name is chosen to be readily recognized by users as signifying that the name is addressing a service on the homenet to which the user’s device is connected.
2. Application software SHOULD NOT treat names ending in 
'.home.arpa.' differently than other names. In particular, there
is no basis for trusting names that are subdomains of 
'home.arpa.' (see Section 6).

3. Name resolution APIs and libraries MUST NOT recognize names that 
end in '.home.arpa.' as special and MUST NOT treat them as having 
special significance, except that it may be necessary that such 
APIs not bypass the locally configured recursive resolvers.

One or more IP addresses for recursive DNS servers will usually 
be supplied to the client through router advertisements or DHCP. 
For an administrative domain that uses subdomains of 
'home.arpa.', such as a homenet, the recursive resolvers provided 
by that domain will be able to answer queries for subdomains of 
'home.arpa.'; other resolvers will not, or they will provide 
answers that are not correct within that administrative domain.

A host that is configured to use a resolver other than one that 
has been provided by the local network may be unable to resolve, 
or may receive incorrect results for, subdomains of 'home.arpa.'.
In order to avoid this, it is permissible that hosts use the 
resolvers that are locally provided for resolving 'home.arpa.',
even when they are configured to use other resolvers.

4. There are three considerations for recursive resolvers that 
follow this specification:

A. Recursive resolvers at sites using 'home.arpa.' MUST 
transparently support DNSSEC queries: queries for DNSSEC 
records and queries with the DNSSEC OK (DO) bit set (see 
Section 3.2.1 of [RFC4035]). While validation is not 
required, it is strongly encouraged: a caching recursive 
resolver that does not validate answers that can be validated 
may cache invalid data. This, in turn, will prevent 
validating stub resolvers from successfully validating 
answers.

B. Unless configured otherwise, recursive resolvers and DNS 
proxies MUST behave as described in Section 3 of the Locally 
Served Zones document [RFC6303]. That is, queries for 
'home.arpa.' and subdomains of 'home.arpa.' MUST NOT be 
forwarded, with one important exception: a query for a DS 
record with the DO bit set MUST return the correct answer for 
that question, including correct information in the authority 
section that proves that the record is nonexistent.
So, for example, a query for the NS record for 'home.arpa.'
MUST NOT result in that query being forwarded to an upstream
cache nor to the authoritative DNS server for '.arpa.'.
However, as necessary to provide accurate authority
information, a query for the DS record MUST result in
forwarding whatever queries are necessary; typically, this
will just be a query for the DS record, since the necessary
authority information will be included in the authority
section of the response if the DO bit is set.

C. In addition to the behavior specified above, recursive
resolvers that can be used in a homenet MUST be configurable
to forward queries for 'home.arpa.' and subdomains of
'home.arpa.' to an authoritative server for 'home.arpa.'.
This server will provide authoritative data for 'home.arpa.'
within a particular homenet. The special handling for DS
records for the 'home.arpa.' delegation is still required.

It is permissible to combine the recursive resolver function
for general DNS lookups with an authoritative resolver for
'home.arpa.'; in this case, rather than forwarding queries
for subdomains of 'home.arpa.' to an authoritative server,
the resolver answers them authoritatively. The behavior with
respect to forwarding queries specifically for 'home.arpa.'
remains the same.

5. No special processing of 'home.arpa.' is required for
authoritative DNS server implementations. It is possible that an
authoritative DNS server might attempt to check the authoritative
servers for 'home.arpa.' for a delegation beneath that name
before answering authoritatively for such a delegated name. In
such a case, because the name always has only local significance,
there will be no such delegation in the 'home.arpa.' zone, and so
the server would refuse to answer authoritatively for such a
zone. A server that implements this sort of check MUST be
configurable so that either it does not do this check for the
'home.arpa.' domain or it ignores the results of the check.

6. DNS server operators MAY configure an authoritative server for
'home.arpa.' for use in homenets and other home networks. The
operator for the DNS servers authoritative for 'home.arpa.' in
the global DNS will configure any such servers as described in
Section 7.

7. 'home.arpa.' is a subdomain of the 'arpa' top-level domain, which
is operated by IANA under the authority of the Internet
Architecture Board according to the rules established in
[RFC3172]. There are no other registrars for '.arpa.'.
5. Updates to Home Networking Control Protocol

The final paragraph in Section 8 of [RFC7788], the Home Networking Control Protocol, is updated as follows:

OLD:
Names and unqualified zones are used in an HNCP network to provide naming and service discovery with local significance. A network-wide zone is appended to all single labels or unqualified zones in order to qualify them. ".home" is the default; however, an administrator MAY configure the announcement of a Domain-Name TLV (Section 10.6) for the network to use a different one. In case multiple are announced, the domain of the node with the greatest node identifier takes precedence.

NEW:
Names and unqualified zones are used in an HNCP network to provide naming and service discovery with local significance. A network-wide zone is appended to all single labels or unqualified zones in order to qualify them. 'home.arpa.' is the default; however, an administrator MAY configure the announcement of a Domain-Name TLV (Section 10.6) for the network to use a different one. In case multiple TLVs are announced, the domain of the node with the greatest node identifier takes precedence.

The 'home.arpa.' special-use name does not require a special resolution protocol. Names for which the rightmost two labels are 'home.arpa.' are resolved using the DNS protocol [RFC1035].

6. Security Considerations
6.1. Local Significance

A DNS record that is returned as a response to a query for a Fully Qualified Domain Name (FQDN) that is a subdomain of 'home.arpa.' is expected to have local significance. It is expected to be returned by a server involved in name resolution for the homenet the device is connected in. However, such a response MUST NOT be considered more trustworthy than a similar response for any other DNS query.

Because 'home.arpa.' is not globally scoped and cannot be secured using DNSSEC based on the root domain’s trust anchor, there is no way to tell, using a standard DNS query, in which homenet scope an answer belongs. Consequently, users may experience surprising results with such names when roaming to different homenets.
To prevent this from happening, it could be useful for the resolver on the host to securely differentiate between different homenets and between identical names on different homenets. However, a mechanism for doing this has not yet been standardized and doing so is out of scope for this document. It is expected that this will be explored in future work.

The advice in [RFC6303], Section 7, to install local trust anchors for locally served zones can only work if there is some way of configuring the trust anchor in the host. Homenet currently specifies no mechanism for configuring such trust anchors. As a result, while this advice sounds good, it is not practicable.

Also, although it might be useful to install a trust anchor for a particular instance of ‘home.arpa.’, it’s reasonable to expect that a host with such a trust anchor might, from time to time, connect to more than one network with its own instance of ‘home.arpa.’. Such a host would be unable to access services on any instance of ‘home.arpa.’ other than the one for which a trust anchor was configured.

It is, in principle, possible to attach an identifier to an instance of ‘home.arpa.’ that could be used to identify which trust anchor to rely on for validating names in that particular instance. However, the security implications of this are complicated, and such a mechanism, as well as a discussion of those implications, is out of scope for this document.

6.2. Insecure Delegation

It is not possible to install a trust anchor (a DS RR) for this zone in the ‘.arpa’ zone. The reason for this is that in order to do so, it would be necessary to have the key-signing key for the zone (see Section 5 of [RFC4034]). Since the zone is not globally unique, no one key would work.

An alternative would be to provide an authenticated denial of existence (see Section 3.2 of [RFC4033]). This would be done simply by not having a delegation from the ‘arpa.’ zone. However, this requires the validating resolver to treat ‘home.arpa.’ specially. If a validating resolver that doesn’t treat ‘home.arpa.’ specially attempts to validate a name in ‘home.arpa.’, an authenticated denial of existence of ‘home’ as a subdomain of ‘arpa.’ would cause the validation to fail. Therefore, the only delegation that will allow names under ‘home.arpa.’ to be resolved by all validating resolvers is an insecure delegation, as in Section 7 of [RFC6303].
Consequently, unless a trust anchor for the particular instance of the 'home.arpa.' zone being validated is manually configured on the validating resolver, DNSSEC signing and validation of names within the 'home.arpa.' zone is not possible.

6.3. Bypassing Manually Configured Resolvers

In item 3 of Section 4, an exception is made to the behavior of stub resolvers that allows them to query local resolvers for subdomains of 'home.arpa.' even when they have been manually configured to use other resolvers. This behavior obviously has security and privacy implications and may not be desirable depending on the context. It may be better to simply ignore this exception and, when one or more recursive resolvers are configured manually, simply fail to provide correct answers for subdomains of 'home.arpa.' At this time, we do not have operational experience that would guide us in making this decision; implementors are encouraged to consider the context in which their software will be deployed when deciding how to resolve this question.

7. Delegation of 'home.arpa.'

In order to be fully functional, there must be a delegation of 'home.arpa.' in the '.arpa.' zone [RFC3172]. This delegation MUST NOT include a DS record and MUST point to one or more black hole servers, for example, 'blackhole-1.iana.org.' and 'blackhole-2.iana.org.' The reason that this delegation must not be signed is that not signing the delegation breaks the DNSSEC chain of trust, which prevents a validating stub resolver from rejecting names published under 'home.arpa.' on a homenet name server.

8. IANA Considerations

IANA has recorded the domain name 'home.arpa.' in the "Special-Use Domain Names" registry [SUDN]. IANA, with the approval of the IAB, has implemented the delegation requested in Section 7.

IANA has created a new subregistry within the "Locally-Served DNS Zones" registry [LSDZ], titled "Transport-Independent Locally-Served DNS Zone Registry", with the same format as the other subregistries. IANA has added an entry in this new registry for 'home.arpa.' with the description "Homenet Special-Use Domain", listing this document as the reference. The registration procedure for this subregistry should be the same as for the others, currently "IETF Review" (see Section 4.8 of [RFC8126]).
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